

The Quality in Education Centre

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's Cooperative Learning Project

Appendices to Final Report

April 2007

**Liz Seagraves
Colleen Clinton
Summer Kenesson**

The Quality in Education Centre
University of Strathclyde
Faculty of Education
Jordanhill Campus
76 Southbrae Drive
Glasgow G13 1PP



Appendices

Contents

	Page	
A	Summary of interviews with local authority staff	1
B	Summary of interviews with senior management in 5 schools	4
C	Summary of interviews with teachers in 5 schools	10
D	Summary of pupil focus groups	14
E	Summary of parent interviews	18
F	Report of classroom observations	22
G	Report of teacher survey	31
H	Report of S1 pupil survey (December 2006)	47
I	Extract from pupil survey (2004)	55
J	Good practice case studies	59
K	Literature	65
L	Research instruments	67
	Interview schedule for local authority representatives	67
	Interview schedule for school senior management	69
	Interview schedule for teachers	71
	Focus group schedule for pupils	73
	Interview schedule for parents	83
	Lesson observation schedule	85
	S1 pupil questionnaire	89
	Teacher questionnaire	96

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's Cooperative Learning Project

Summary of interviews with key local authority staff

Semi-structured interviews were undertaken with key local authority staff during October and November 2006. The purpose of the interviews was to gain an up-to-date overview of the programme and to investigate key factors in its implementation from the authority perspective. Some of the data from these interviews has been reported in Chapter 1 of the report. Those interviewed were:

- Michael O'Neill, Director of Education
- Alison Cameron, Policy Adviser
- Chris Ward, Cooperative Learning Trainer and Coordinator
- Jacqueline Burton, Cooperative Learning Development Officer
- Brendan McCloskey, Cooperative Learning Development Officer

Key factors for successful introduction and implementation of cooperative learning

Various key factors for successful introduction and implementation of cooperative learning were mentioned in the interviews:

1. It is crucial for the initiative of cooperative learning to be part of an underlying philosophy, which in this case is the *Raising Achievement for All* policy and *Aiming Higher* motto. Staff (particularly Senior Management Teams) need to believe in this underpinning vision and work towards it
2. Persevering with cooperative learning and ongoing support is essential. It has been made clear to staff in North Lanarkshire that they are in this for the long haul and that it is not a one-off initiative and CPD training. It is necessary for follow up to the training and support to be available – it should be an ongoing process
3. Cooperative learning training should be made available to everyone (Senior Management Teams, teachers and also support staff). First hand training experience is preferred to a 'watered down' version that may be delivered through dissemination. Training should take place in a cooperative manner and address heterogeneous, cross-sectoral groups. Teachers need to have the opportunity to experiment with cooperative learning. In North Lanarkshire, training has an opt-in model and participation is voluntary
4. Senior Management Teams at both local authority and school level need to be supportive and believe in the initiative and its underlying principles
5. Visible differences in pupil learning and teacher motivation make the experience worthwhile and satisfying.

Ideal local authority and school conditions for effective introduction and implementation of CL

Following on from key factors for successful implementation, local authority and school conditions for effective introduction and implementation of CL include a supportive, enthusiastic Senior Management Team that also uses cooperative learning activities in a wider sense and is prepared to commit time and resources for teachers to be trained on an ongoing basis. Leadership, commitment and drive from the top are paramount. Teachers need to be able to experiment with cooperative learning activities in order to be able to internalise the working model and develop a framework in which cooperative learning is embedded. Working hard, collaboratively and wanting to learn something new are important for taking on new initiatives and will also require courage; thinking big and out of the box is therefore essential.

Support and resources

In addition to the conferences, training sessions, Academies and Recall Days, support and resources made available to teachers include websites, textbooks, resource materials, training videos, newsletters, teacher journals and the intranet (First Class system for sharing resources, lessons, comments, etc). Colleagues and Senior Management Teams can also have an important support role.

The Canadian trainer and the six Development Officers are available for in-house support and a starter pack for teachers is currently being developed. However, key local authority staff feel that few resources are needed, as Cooperative Learning is a generic tool and approaches are adaptable to the topic and aims of particular lessons.

Local authority support requested on a frequent basis is to help structure Senior Management Teams to deliver in-service sessions and staff training cooperatively.

Cooperative learning activities – learning communities

Cooperative learning activities are used widely and across the board; eg staff meetings and in-service days, parent meetings, (parent) conferences, school council activities, local authority induction days and corporate management meetings have all been known to run in a cooperative manner.

Practical use of cooperative learning and incorporation into other initiatives

Cooperative learning is a set of strategies that can be used as a vehicle through which different strands of initiatives can be pulled together. The authority is involved in various initiatives, including Mind Mapping (Tony Buzan), Howard Gardner's work, reasoning and reacting, restorative practice, Determined to Succeed, AifL and A Curriculum for Excellence, and cooperative learning has proved to be an umbrella strategy which can be used as a vehicle for these initiatives and to reduce the workload.

Cooperative learning is a useful and applicable way to translate appealing theory into practice. It is a style or strategy of teaching which provides the format for planning your lessons and is part of what a teacher would do on a daily basis – including reflection, self assessment and group assessment, which are all built in. In addition, cooperative learning ensures professionals have a common language.

Monitoring of the project and the project aims

Informal monitoring of process, roll-out and strategy has been undertaken throughout the process of introduction and implementation. Actions have been taken to improve this roll-out process where necessary; eg it was identified early on that secondary schools were slower in taking up cooperative learning, so more was done to include them through Head Teacher meetings, etc. In addition, teachers are now required to give up less of their own free time to come to the training as it now takes place on a Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday, rather than Thursday, Friday and Saturday or Sunday, Monday and Tuesday as at the beginning of the project.

No formal monitoring of the project aims and success of cooperative learning has been done so far, but anecdotal evidence, informal feedback and personal experience show that there has been a big impact on attainment, social skills and teacher and pupil motivation (examples of schools given for each of these).

(Head) Teachers' and parents' perceptions are being evaluated after training and conferences rather than measurable outcomes which may not (yet) be possible. It will take more time to track children's progress and it is also important for children to be exposed to cooperative learning consistently throughout their educational career. The 'Raising Achievement for All' strategy targets a wider development than academic outcomes so quantitative measuring may not always be appropriate and/or possible.

Ongoing developments and next steps for North Lanarkshire

Ongoing developments in North Lanarkshire include the completion of roll-out, continuation of back-up and support (eg through Development Officers), continuation of building confidence in schools, development of monitoring skills in Head Teachers, enhancement of technological support (intranet) and exploring new avenues (including Initial Teacher Education; subject specialists; Early Years focus; and national developments).

A start has been made on drawing up a further strategy which will address resource and capacity issues and an exit philosophy and strategy for the Canadian Trainer after she concludes her secondment.

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's Cooperative Learning Project

Summary of interviews with senior management in 5 schools

Senior staff (8 in total) in 3 primary and 2 secondary schools were interviewed during December 2006 and January 2007. The purpose of the interviews was to establish the status of cooperative learning in the school, discuss issues of implementation and to seek the staff's view on the extent to which the local authority's objectives for cooperative learning were being achieved.

Involvement with cooperative learning and numbers of staff trained

The schools participating in this part of the research had been involved since the early stages of the initiative; high levels of teaching staff in the secondary schools had attended North Lanarkshire's training and the majority of the primary school teachers were trained. One of the secondary schools had been involved in developing cooperative learning since 1998, prior to North Lanarkshire introducing cooperative learning as an authority initiative in 2002. The other secondary school and two of the primary schools had been involved since 2002 and the third since 2003. In one secondary, two-thirds of the 90 teaching staff had attended the North Lanarkshire Academy Training while the rest had experienced in-house training; support staff had been included in in-house training and the librarian was due to attend special training in cooperative learning for librarians. In the other secondary, over half of the teaching staff had attended training and there was a commitment to have all staff trained by 2008; in this school the librarian had attended a 3-day Academy. In the 3 primary schools, all permanent/core teaching staff had attended the authority training; in one there was a new teacher who was soon to attend; in another there were some 'gaps' due to staff on leave and secondment and supply staff were not trained; in the third all staff were trained and there had been no turnover of staff.

Implementation of cooperative learning in schools

Links to development planning

In the secondary schools cooperative learning was a high priority in the development plan – it had been for some time and remained there. For one it was the 'number one' target within Learning and Teaching development priorities. In two of the primary schools it was no longer on the development plan as it was now considered to be embedded and on a maintenance programme; in the third it was still on the development plan.

Strategies for implementation and monitoring

All schools had made a commitment at the outset to train all staff, which meant a commitment to dedicate staff development budgets for this purpose. Clearly for the secondary schools this is a longer term commitment than for the primary schools. Each school adopted slightly different strategies; key points are highlighted here.

In one secondary the strategy has involved both sending teachers to the Academy and Recall Days and introducing staff to cooperative learning through in-house training. Two members of staff had completed the training for trainers course and, although one was on secondment to the authority at the time of the interview, their role was to give short input about cooperative learning during in-service days and, within their timetables, they had been allocated time to work with other departments, to team teach and to support cooperative learning developments. Every department had one person nominated with cooperative learning responsibility and they form a committee to support cooperative learning developments. (In interviews with teaching staff and one of the 'trainers', it was suggested that while in-house training was valuable as an introduction, it was preferable that people attended the Academy to gain a better understanding of the principles and the range of cooperative learning activities that could be used.)

In the other secondary, after all SMT had been trained, there was a process of allowing interested staff to opt-in and 'targeting' key staff through inviting them to attend, to ensure that all departments have staff who have attended the Academy and that in some departments all staff are trained. The preference is that staff attend the Academy and no in-house training in cooperative learning is delivered.

In both secondary schools trained staff had also attended Recall Days, though no details of numbers were provided.

In both secondary schools, cooperative learning approaches are used widely in school management and events; for example, for in-service days, board of studies meetings and departmental meetings. It is a 'high profile' feature of school life and is talked about a lot and referred to in newsletters. All staff, therefore, should be aware of cooperative learning even if they have not yet been trained.

One secondary interviewee emphasised the importance of monitoring progress in cooperative learning through the faculty, departmental and individual review process. This includes a review of who is trained in each department and the extent to which CL is used, where the department and teachers are planning to use it, classroom observation by members of SMT – both with prior warning and, in the third term, without warning ... *'to check they are doing CL in the normal class, not a special show class'*. This was not seen as threatening, as both teachers and pupils were keen to invite people in to see what they were doing in cooperative learning.

Key points from the primary school approaches to implementing cooperative learning were:

- staff were trained gradually and they introduced it gradually to their classes in ways in which they felt comfortable; in two of the schools all staff had attended Recall Days and in the other some had, though attendance was planned
- in one school, in the first year they focused on social skills, then in the second year aimed to use it at least once a week in maths and language and thereafter to use it more widely in other areas
- in two of the schools, teachers were asked to prepare lesson plans for cooperative learning lessons and these were kept for reference and sharing; in one school they were evaluated during in-service days. In the third school it was planned that during the current year teachers would begin to prepare a bank of lesson plans
- in two schools it was reported that teachers noted in forward planning when they were doing cooperative learning lessons and that the headteacher or principal teacher observed lessons on a regular basis
- one school emphasised the importance of having a whole school programme with a plan for introducing progressively more difficult social skills across the different stages
- effectiveness and impact was best monitored through observing the children working together and the changes in their behaviour and, in particular, their growth in confidence
- all three primary schools used cooperative learning approaches as a way of organising staff meetings and in-service days and included all staff, ie administrative staff, support staff, classroom assistants – even, in one case, the janitor. One had organised an event for parents using some cooperative learning activities.

Issues related to training, development and resources

The secondary senior managers and one of the primary headteachers spoke of local authority training and support in very positive terms – 'great', 'really good', 'tremendous'. Two of the primaries indicated that they felt they had not needed a lot of support but they were confident that if they had needed it, it would have been there. All welcomed the appointment of the development officers, who were recognised for their own expertise as teachers, and indicated that arrangements were being made for the development officers to come into the school to work with more recently trained teachers and also to see how cooperative learning was being delivered within the schools. It was also noted that the development of the online resource would be helpful, and while that had taken longer to establish than they had hoped, it should be of benefit as resources and example lesson plans were developed and shared.

In-house resources were important and in one of the secondary schools there had been a commitment to purchase materials to help teachers; for example, for two departments, new tables had been purchased to enable them to arrange the class more flexibly for the formation of groups.

Departments were encouraged to develop their own resources for sharing and some were developing banks of lesson plans. As noted above, example lesson plans for sharing were established in two primaries, with the third planning to do this.

It was noted by two of the headteachers that even long-term supply teachers were not trained – the school could not afford to train someone who then moved on. Probationers would be introduced to cooperative learning when working with teachers who already used it and would be given an introduction as part of their probationer training. If they remained within the authority, it would be a priority for them to attend the Academy. One DHT commented that some students were coming with knowledge of cooperative learning and were keen to ask for help to use it in their classes. As with all initiatives in schools (eg Assessment is for Learning, enterprise, a Curriculum for Excellence), it would help schools if the teacher education institutions covered cooperative learning in their initial training courses.

Once the initial commitment to training all staff had been achieved, it was felt that the training of new permanent teachers appointed through natural turnover of staff could be absorbed by the school budgets.

Links to other school developments and initiatives

All felt that cooperative learning fitted well with all aspects of teaching and learning and the ongoing developments in enterprise, Assessment is for Learning, health promotion and citizenship. In one person's view it fitted 'beautifully'. It was stated that the four capacities of a Curriculum for Excellence are well catered for when cooperative learning is embedded as an approach to teaching and learning.

Extent to which cooperative learning is used

In both secondary schools it was acknowledged that cooperative learning was used more in some departments than others. Some departments were very committed and used it extensively, while others had some teachers who used it well. In one school it was reported that 'maths is not as far forward as other departments', while in the other 'some maths teachers used it well, but some may have expressed the view that it was more difficult to do in maths'. Both schools were focusing on progressing developments within departments.

In the primary schools it was noted that all core staff used it 'in some way'; some teachers used it more often than others.

Challenges in implementing cooperative learning

Challenges included:

- convincing some staff that it was worthwhile – *'older teachers were less keen, but once they had experienced the training they came back with enthusiasm'*
- committing the finance for the training
- maintaining momentum after initial enthusiasm and keeping cooperative learning in the forefront of people's thinking about teaching and learning
- ensuring that after training teachers take it forward – training is expensive and if teachers do not implement it money can be wasted
- making sure there were time and opportunity for teachers to discuss what they were doing – *'to engage in conversation with them and listen sympathetically to what they need to support them'*
- working with some groups of children was more difficult as they were less ready to participate and required greater help with social skills; perseverance was required.

Best whole school conditions to encourage implementation of cooperative learning

'Good' whole school factors were:

- support and commitment of SMT
- SMT trained in cooperative learning and using it for school management purposes; this modelled it and encouraged teachers to use it
- a school ethos of openness and sharing; lots of opportunities for discussion and professional dialogue; in this dialogue people need to be able to use the language of learning and teaching

and cooperative learning gives them that language (as do other approaches to teaching and learning which can be developed and used alongside the language of cooperative learning, eg formative assessment, the Harvard University course on 'Teaching and Understanding').

Meeting local authority aims for cooperative learning

Securing higher attainment

Interviewees were asked, firstly, what factors they felt influenced attainment and, where these were negative, how cooperative learning might address these. Primary head teachers referred to home and social background where there were low expectations and little encouragement to do well at school; the children often had poor social skills because they did not experience the development of such skills outside of school. Both primary and secondary representatives spoke of poor quality teaching leading to underachievement, for example, *'not sharing learning outcomes and assessment criteria and setting up tests expecting some to fail'*.

Cooperative learning could address some of these factors because:

- it emphasised the development of social skills which enabled the children to participate
- it encouraged the development of good quality relationships with other pupils and also with the teacher
- working with their peers allowed the children to learn from each other
- having a role which was essential to the whole group gave them a sense of worth and achievement
- outcomes (both social and academic) were clear.

One headteacher indicated that talking with others about what they were learning encouraged pupils to think about what they knew and what they wanted to learn

The research team had obtained from the local authority statistics service examples of national assessment results displaying the schools' performances on reading, writing and mathematics at the stages used as National Priority indicators for the academic years 2001/02 to 2005/06. A summary of SQA results was also obtained for the secondary schools. The figures indicated that there appeared to be progress in some areas at some stages, more particularly at P6 and P7, but there were also some noticeable dips in performance. In one secondary school there was notable progress in mathematics in S2. Each school representative was asked to comment on the results for their own school.

The following points were made:

- national assessment results were not seen as a good way of monitoring the impact of cooperative learning; some children made good progress but they still may not reach the level the government says they should be at for their age
- everything schools did was about raising attainment and improvements could not be attributed to one initiative; cooperative learning was one influence amongst many. In the secondary maths department where progress was noted there would be *'several strategies "on the go" – one could not lay claim to improvement courtesy of cooperative learning'*
- there were fluctuations between year groups and some years do have higher attainment; the aim was for a long-term general upward trend and cooperative learning would be part of that trend
- in schools where attainment in national assessments was already high, there was little room for manoeuvre
- while better results were being achieved in some subjects in secondary schools, on the whole it was too early to make a claim that cooperative learning was having an impact on test and exam results.

The benefits of cooperative learning were expressed more strongly in terms of developing social skills and developing the whole child in terms of self-esteem and confidence and in increasing motivation (see sections which follow); it was believed that these should all contribute to better learning for the children.

Developing social skills, developing citizenship and encouraging active involvement in learning

All interviewees were in agreement that cooperative learning had clearly contributed to this aim. Although some indicated that they had '*always done a lot of work on social skills*', cooperative learning made it explicit and more structured; social objectives were highlighted more. Giving the children responsibility for completing the tasks increased confidence. Taking on different roles helped the development of both quiet children, as they were encouraged to say more, and also the ones who were more troublesome.

One DHT spoke of a group '*who treated each other abominably and spoke to one another in an appalling way. They had developed these "alternative strategies" as a kind of defence mechanism because they felt vulnerable but it was imperative that they learned that they couldn't behave that way in the outside world. Using cooperative learning and introducing social skills made them think about it – they took the "mick" out of it but they learned to use the right words and we could work at bringing it to a more natural level, and they got there.*'

In one of the primary schools it was noted that benefits could be seen across the whole school and in the playground. There was a sense of respect and the pupils were solving problems for themselves. '*In situations where they would have become physical they now talk about it. Enabling them to stop and talk before raising the fist is fantastic.*' It was recognised, however, that it was difficult to maintain that behaviour outside the school if the environment they came from did not respect the same skills.

Developing a learning community with a positive and inclusive ethos

All agreed that cooperative learning contributed to the development of a learning community with a positive ethos. For some it was important that such an ethos was already part of the school culture and in that context cooperative learning would '*find a home*'. However, many aspects of cooperative learning build the sense of community. Cooperative learning

- emphasised that everyone is part of a team; positive interdependence is essential; everyone is needed
- made children more aware of the need to support each other and that to succeed they needed to work together
- emphasised that behaviour learned was not just for the classroom but for the whole school
- meant that children with additional support needs could be given a role and that role was just as important as any other for success.

Increasing teacher efficacy by adding to their toolkit of skills

Interviewees indicated that for the most part, they and their teachers had always used group work (more in some departments in secondary schools than others). However, this had not been with all the elements of cooperative learning. For most, the explicit teaching of social skills was a new dimension.

Applying the '5 principles' was also a new aspect introduced through cooperative learning and this provided a more structured way of planning and delivering lessons. However, the view was expressed that cooperative learning '*adds to a teacher's methodology even if they are not doing "full-blown" cooperative learning all the time*'. One primary headteacher indicated that all teachers would use fully structured cooperative learning lessons for topic work or maths problem solving and would 'dip into it' at other times. One of the secondary interviewees held a particular view on this: '*Some of the teachers will take aspects of it, some of the strategies and that is good. However, if they are not using all 5 principles then they are not doing cooperative learning. I'll challenge them if they say they are doing cooperative learning and they have not introduced social skills or done group processing. Cooperative learning gives lots of different kinds of activities, different ways of doing tasks, but we want to ensure that all 5 elements are in as many lessons as possible, otherwise it isn't cooperative learning.*'

Increasing pupil and teacher motivation

Interviewees were asked to indicate if they felt that there had been any improvement in attendance or reduction in exclusions as a result of cooperative learning. As with attainment, it was felt that cooperative learning might contribute as part of wider initiatives to address these issues. For some schools these were not major issues to be addressed.

Evidence of increased motivation in the children could be observed through their enjoyment of working in a cooperative way and their being busy on task during the lessons. In one of the secondary schools it was noted that when children were asked which subjects they liked, they tended to talk about the ones where cooperative learning was well embedded.

Evidence of increased motivation amongst teachers included

- teachers talking more about *how* children learn than *what* they learn
- teachers wanting to showcase what they were doing and inviting management to observe their classes
- good practitioners used it best, but even the less enthusiastic used cooperative learning.

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's Cooperative Learning Project

Summary of interviews with teachers in 5 schools

Group discussions were held with teachers in the 5 study schools. In total, 22 teachers took part – 9 secondary teachers and 13 primary teachers. Their experience as teachers ranged from those who had been teaching over 30 years to teachers in their second year of teaching. Experience of using cooperative learning varied widely from those who had been trained at the beginning of the NL programme in 2002 to some who had been trained the week prior to interview, and in one of the secondary schools 2 teachers who had received some in-house training and had been working with trained teachers in their departments. The range of experience was clearly reflected in the responses, with some teachers showing greater understanding of the principles and also of the effectiveness of using it in their classrooms.

Training, support and resources

All the teachers who had attended the Academy spoke positively of their experience, but some explained that *'the training gave you ideas but the real learning took place as you tried to implement it in your classroom'*. Teachers in more than one school expressed the view that it could not be done without attending the Academy as this was necessary to understand why cooperative learning was important and why the school was doing it. Two teachers who had been introduced to cooperative learning within their departments had recently attended the Academy. One explained that *'it is good to get the theory behind the practice; I now feel I have more understanding and now know why I am doing it.'* However, the Recall Day was more useful for helping to implement cooperative learning as you could discuss what you had been trying to do and share ideas with others who had also been implementing it.

While all the senior management had been aware of the development of online resources and the use of First Class, this was mentioned in only one school in the interviews with teachers. In this school they thought it would be helpful if there was more information, such as lesson plans, available through the online resources, but it was acknowledged that it is time consuming to write up lesson plans and to develop an online resource. The teachers were aware of the appointment of the development officers and some had worked with, or were planning to work with, the development officer for their area.

In one of the secondary schools, the teachers indicated that management had been very supportive in the purchase of resources, including resource packs with ideas for activities for forming groups and organising suitable tasks and other materials. In both schools there was a focus on each department developing resources – the extent of this varied between departments. In the primary schools sharing took place informally, often between stage partners; and, in two of them, more formally during in-service days and through a bank of sample lesson plans.

Introducing cooperative learning into the classroom

In the view of some of the primary teachers, introducing cooperative learning and, especially, introducing social skills, was like introducing anything to pupils – in the words of one, *'you just do it!'*. The vocabulary was used and explained; social skills were discussed with exploration of why they were important. Both primary and secondary teachers explained the use of T-charts to help with this, where the chart displayed *'what it sounds like'* and *'what it looks like'*.

However, the explanations of how the teachers prepared for the group work and roles clearly indicate that it is not really *'just doing it'*, as this was not only time consuming but also required a lot of planning and knowledge of the individual pupils:

- *'the challenge is getting the right mix of pupils as you are aiming for mixed ability groups of pupils who don't usually work together and that doesn't always work so you need to "rejig" it.'* (sec)

- *'it takes a lot of planning time, it is all about differentiation, grouping them correctly, getting the task right, both physical and mental preparation takes time.'* However, *'sometimes you get through the work quicker; for example, working in expert groups they did in two lessons what would have taken 4 lessons. My time was invested in setting it up.'* (sec)
- *'you need careful management of the groups to ensure each group has a good mix. You don't want groups where there is no stimulus – you want the more able ones to help the less able ones.'* (pri)
- *'you need to think about the different groups and the roles to be assigned.'* (pri)

The cooperative learning training materials present different kinds of groups – informal cooperative learning groups, formal cooperative learning groups and base groups¹. Teachers were asked about the extent to which these approaches were incorporated into their practice. In most cases teachers tended to focus on formal groups which were formed, for example, in both secondary schools for the completion of a project during which the pupils would return to that group over a period of weeks, maybe once or twice a week.

In one primary school they had 'base groups' in which the children sat all the time, with each desk numbered according to the child's role for working in cooperative learning; these groups became their 'formal groups' for cooperative learning tasks. The groups were re-formed with different children 'from time to time' so that they worked with different children. This had become an embedded approach to classroom management. In this school, informal groups might be used in PE, music and drama. A teacher in another primary gave the following description: *'Base groups are used for a term for long term projects in subjects like RE and Environmental Studies; formal mixed ability groups are used for maths, story writing and language; informal groups are used in PE, expressive arts and Environmental Studies'*.

It was felt that pupils quickly became familiar with cooperative learning practices; they learned the vocabulary and what they were expected to do when they were being asked to work cooperatively. Not all teachers in secondary school used it as not all had been trained, and some used it more than others, but pupils were familiar with teachers' teaching styles and with some teachers *'they just expected it'*. Children became familiar with the roles and sometimes asked what their role was going to be. In one of the secondary schools, it was reported that sometimes the pupils asked if they could work cooperatively even when it wasn't planned, although this was more likely in S1 and S2 than in S3 and S4.

The teachers interviewed all believed that cooperative learning could be used in any subject or area of the curriculum - *'it was an issue of classroom management not curriculum'*. One secondary teacher (RE) had thought that perhaps it was more difficult in mathematics until he heard two maths teachers talking about how they used it. In primary schools where setting is used, mixed ability groups cannot be formed and therefore that aspect of cooperative learning cannot be applied.

All teachers emphasised that cooperative learning was something that they used as appropriate – they looked at the objectives of what they were teaching first and then decided if cooperative learning was an appropriate way to deliver that aspect of the curriculum/subject. For example, *'you don't make lessons up to suit cooperative learning; cooperative learning has to fit in'*. A variety of approaches were required – *'it was about balance – working individually and working cooperatively'*.

Challenges of introducing cooperative learning included:

- helping children to concentrate on social skills, especially when this is not the norm in their lives outside of school (pri)

¹ Informal groups: (temporary, ad hoc groups, last for only one discussion or class period; focus student attention, create expectations, set mood conducive to learning, ensure cognitive processing of material and provide closure to instructional session.)

Formal groups: (pupils work together for one or several class sessions, shared learning goals to complete specific tasks and assignments. Structured through pre-instructional decisions, setting task and cooperative structure, monitoring groups while they work and intervene to improve taskwork and teamwork and evaluating student learning and processing group functioning)

Base groups: (long-term – term to a year; stable membership; give each member support, encouragement, assistance for academic progress, cognitive development and social development.)

- working with some classes of children who are less ready to work in groups and who may even find pair working difficult (pri)
- helping older children, especially the more academic ones, realise that they are learning when they work cooperatively – this can include encouraging those who prefer to work on their own to work with others (sec)
- finding sufficient planning time (both).

Meeting local authority aims for cooperative learning

Securing higher attainment

The views of both primary and secondary teachers were in line with the views of senior management:

- raising attainment was a long-term issue and it was too early to consider whether cooperative learning might influence this
- cooperative learning was only one initiative amongst many aimed at raising attainment
- the main gains from cooperative learning are the social benefits and the development of confidence and self-esteem: *'it gives them self-worth, pride in themselves, they want to do better ... these parallel raising attainment'*
- working together leads to better individual work – for example in story writing, if they share ideas, they individually write better stories; in secondary schools, they are better at doing solo talks as they are used to reporting back.

Developing social skills, developing citizenship and encouraging active involvement in learning

All were in agreement that cooperative learning contributed to these areas. Not only were social skills specifically addressed, children who did not like sharing or working with others had to learn to do it and they did make progress. It was noted that there could be animosity between children coming from the environment outside the school but they had to learn to respect and appreciate each other. As noted above, groups did not always manage to work together and this had to be addressed. They had to learn to work with people they didn't like or get on with, which was important preparation for life. With regard to involvement in learning, when they have a role to play they have to do it as they do not want to let their group down; if they didn't contribute it was obvious.

Developing a learning community with a positive and inclusive ethos

All teachers reported that they already saw themselves as having a positive and inclusive ethos and that cooperative learning fitted in with this ethos.

Increasing teacher efficacy by adding to their toolkit of skills

All the teachers interviewed indicated that they had previously used group working but that cooperative learning had introduced a more structured framework and a more explicit focus on social skills. The training and materials had also given new ideas for classroom activities, eg appointments clock, fist of five, gallery walk; they also talked of using graffiti sheets and expert groups.

One group of teachers, in one of the secondary schools, spoke unprompted about the 5 principles and the importance of including them for group work to be effective. An example was given by an art teacher who used *'a full-blown, meticulously planned and structured cooperative learning approach'* for the theoretical and historical elements in the units of an arts course. As the course had 4 units, work would be undertaken in a fully cooperative way by the students on that course 4 times a year, each time over a series of lessons. Other teachers in the same school spoke of doing a cooperative learning lesson once a week with different classes, or about a quarter of the time. At other times they would use some cooperative learning activities.

In one of the primary schools, one teacher indicated that she never used these terms and would never write a lesson plan which checked that all were present; in the same school another teacher indicated that she did lesson plans with all 5 principles noted and checked to make sure they were included and did a 'full' cooperative learning lesson at least once a week. In another school, it was reported that *'it is about picking out the bits and elements of CL that work for you'*.

The view was also expressed by more than one teacher that *'it was only one approach among many'; 'it is just another strategy – not the be all and end all'; 'it is just another tool – a good one, motivating and fun'*.

It was stated in one primary school that *'cooperative learning has always been going on in primary schools but not under this name'* and in another *'It is not new. We have always been doing it'*.

Increasing pupil and teacher motivation

Responses to a question about how effective cooperative learning was in motivating both pupils and teachers were mixed.

Pupils:

- *'children who work would do so anyway' (pri)*
- *'it does not motivate all children' (pri)*
- *'it doesn't always work – it isn't all singing, all dancing' (pri)*
- *'it is particularly helpful for the poorer child – they can be actively involved and feel as though they have contributed as much as the child who shines' (pri)*
- *'pupils look forward to it an enjoy it' (pri)*
- *'children feel that are not actually doing work – they enjoy it' (pri)*
- *'children who used to sit back and say "I can't do it" now realise "I can do this bit and someone else can do that bit" ' (pri)*

Teachers:

- *'it gives a new impulse if you are bored' (sec)*
- *'motivating especially for those stuck in a rut' (pri)*
- *'I hope it never stops, hope it never changes and I hope the LA doesn't decide it is now not working' (pri)*
- *'it hasn't really made any difference to the motivation of teachers' (pri)*
- *'it boosts me as a teacher, especially when you get a wee bit dry. We get caught up in the mundane things – assessment and meeting targets. Just to take the time out and do something really well you get it back and it gives you a real boost. It restores you and rejuvenates you' (sec)*
- *'you never stop for a second in a CL lesson; you can't sit back. You are right in there – it is great' (sec)*
- *'what I love about it is that you can never crack it ... it goes on and on. I go off to an in-service and there is something new. It is lifelong learning for us. It is exciting' (sec).*

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's cooperative learning project

Summary of pupil focus groups

A number of focus groups were carried out with pupils, in order to access their views and attitudes towards cooperative learning. In total, 7 focus groups, each lasting 30-40 minutes, were held with pupils from 5 schools. Three focus groups were held with primary school pupils (P3, P4, and P7) and 4 focus groups were held with secondary school pupils (2 x S1, S3 and S5). Each group was attended by between 5 and 10 pupils and opened with a discussion of a cooperative learning lesson which the researcher had previously observed. The cooperative learning tasks observed by the researcher ranged from deciding on a group name, ordering and discussing a series of pictures and critically assessing pupils' own written work (in terms of grammar and punctuation). Pupils reported being assigned to a number of distinct roles, including time-keeper, reporter, mediator, scribe, reader, encourager and resource manager. After discussing these roles, pupils' experiences and views of cooperative learning were explored. Analysis of the focus group discussion gave rise to a number of themes which are discussed below with illustrative quotations.

The meaning of cooperative learning for pupils

Pupils reported having used cooperative learning either since primary school or from the first year of high school and mostly in subjects including English, drama, PE and music. Their understanding of cooperative learning was demonstrated across all focus groups in the definitions that they articulated and in the skills that they perceived to be necessary in order to engage effectively in cooperative learning. When asked what cooperative learning meant to them, typical responses from pupils included "working with others", "group work", "putting your heads together", "working in teams", and "getting to know new people and finding out how other people work." Other features of cooperative learning that were mentioned included being assigned roles, sharing ideas, listening, encouraging everyone to participate and assisting less able pupils.

Skills required in cooperative learning

Pupils listed a number of attributes necessary for working effectively with others. The most commonly reported attributes included listening, patience, having respect for other people's ideas and being able to "disagree agreeably." Being good at "speaking out" and "encouraging and giving praise" were also mentioned. Secondary school pupils understood that cooperative learning does not require any special talents and that anyone can take part "as long as [they] can work together and have patience with people." These pupils also recognised that some individuals would be naturally more competent than others at skills such as listening, and that "you have to work on it" to develop these skills. In general, pupils perceived the skills developed in cooperative learning to be important in order to "get on with people", "be liked", and "make new friends."

Pupils' grasp of cooperative learning principles

All pupils, with the exception of those in one secondary school focus group, who provided very shallow responses to questions, demonstrated a reasonably strong grasp of the principles of cooperative learning. Pupils in one P7 group spoke of the 5 elements of cooperative learning and explained these in their own words. For example, individual accountability was defined as "everybody having a job to do", such as being the resource manager or encourager. Group processing was described as "working together and going over work to see if it makes sense. If you don't agree you can then go back and fix it". [Note: this sounds more like discussion which takes place as part of promotive interaction as opposed to reviewing how they worked together as a group; within the time limitations of this particular focus group it was not possible to probe in greater detail.] They did, however, also talk of using prompt sheets at the end of lessons to evaluate how they worked together. According to these pupils, social skills comprised talking and listening, sharing opinions, being polite and not interrupting, and face-to-face interaction was defined as "looking at each other. It is really pretty much the same as social skills".

Pupils' endeavours to define the principles of cooperative learning were less explicit in other focus groups but could be identified in their articulations of how they would typically respond to specific situations. For example, demonstrating positive interdependence, pupils understood the importance of role assignment as then "everyone has a part to play" and "no-one is left out" or able to "mess about". Moreover, swapping roles was viewed positively as, according to pupils, "it gives you new experiences" and taught them to give up roles they liked and adopt less favourable ones. One P3 pupil stated: "Some people like their role very much but sometimes it changes and they get grumpy about it but then they start liking it."

Pupils' understanding of individual and group accountability was evidenced in their comments that groups would "lose points" if the whole group did not perform. Furthermore, they were aware of the need to prevent social loafing, as the following quote from a P3 group suggests: "You make sure no one is sitting back and other people doing the work. You encourage them."

As stated above, the pupils were aware of the interpersonal skills required in cooperative learning and there was evidence that they were also familiar with the small group skills typically used in cooperative learning. For instance, on being asked how to resolve disagreement among a group, S1 pupils demonstrated their decision-making skills: "You could ask everyone and draw like a graph thing and show it...and let the most popular view win."

Understanding of promotive interaction was demonstrated in pupils' accounts of their endeavours to assist less able pupils, to support those who lacked confidence to "speak up" and through their explanation that discussion and talking "helps things stay in your head".

Evidence for understanding of group processing was weaker than for the other cooperative learning elements. When asked to reflect on their group performances, the majority of pupils provided shallow responses, suggesting that they were not overly familiar with such reflective processes. S5 pupils claimed that they had not been asked to reflect on cooperative learning group work and did not see the value in group processing. As far as these pupils were concerned, it was important that they had learned rather than worked together. On the other hand, P3 pupils provided detailed evaluations of their performances, scoring themselves out of 5 and identifying ways in which they could have improved their performance. Similarly, the P7 group who supplied their definitions of the 5 cooperative learning elements mentioned group processing with minimal prompt and spoke of the evaluation sheets that they typically complete at the end of cooperative learning lessons.

Teacher support

In terms of teacher support, pupils noted teachers encouraging independent work but also being on hand to help if needed: "She comes round and if stuck, she helps you out. She organises it all, she tells us what we are doing and introduces and explains the lesson and also encourages. She gives us hints." An S1 group explained that the teacher typically employed strategies to facilitate development of skills. The strategies included circle time, not being allowed to talk unless holding an object and submitting problems anonymously in a 'problems box' and then discussing problems in groups. The P3 group also mentioned strategies that the teacher had provided to help them deal with frustration over not being allocated preferred roles: "if someone else had a number that we wanted and we felt that we could like punch them, the teacher said we should turn turtle, sit quiet and count to 10, and then say, 'it's ok, you can have that number because they are all important jobs to do.'" Finally, members in an S1 group mentioned how their teacher would endeavour to explore pupils' feelings about the work they were undertaking and attempt to "cheer them up." One pupil stated: "We used to have like cards on a key ring – sad, happy, excited and whatever you were feeling you sat it out and the teacher came and asked you about it."

Perceived benefits of cooperative learning

Pupils across all focus groups reported a number of gains associated with cooperative learning. They felt that generally the assignment of roles meant that tasks were finished more quickly and targets reached, and "if stuck" they were able to rely on others for support or "learn from each other". For example, one S1 pupil stated: "It is good in maths – if something you don't understand, someone else

can work it out differently and maybe you can understand it their way.” It was also felt across all groups that cooperative learning facilitated the learning process through sharing of ideas and the notion that “more brains is better than one.” Pupils explained that the greater emphasis on discussion was also beneficial to learning as “when you are talking about things it stays in your head but when you are writing it down you just scribble and don’t realise what you are writing.”

Pupils also recognised that the interpersonal skills developed through cooperative learning had enhanced their social confidence. This was highlighted among both groups of S1 pupils, who reported that their participation in cooperative learning had made the transition from primary to secondary school easier for them. Specifically, pupils were less fearful about starting secondary school and more confident about approaching new people. One pupil stated: “When you were just up and didn’t know people you just started to talk to them.” Other reported benefits of cooperative learning included the enjoyment that pupils gained.

Several pupils talked about how cooperative learning was “fun” and that it was “more interesting” than standard classes. One S1 pupil stated: “When you go to English you have to write all the time and I don’t like it but in his class he talks about it and you work in big groups and you learn a lot.” Others liked the fact that such group work ensures “no one is sitting back and letting other people do the work.”

Pupils across focus groups talked about the transferability of the benefits of cooperative learning that they gained in the classroom. For example, S1 pupils spoke of the social skills that they used when meeting new people on holiday and when playing team sports, while P7 pupils spoke of their compromising skills, as illustrated in the following quote: “...when you are in a shop with a friend and you are getting a big bottle of juice to take home and watch a movie and your friend wants salt popcorn but you want sweet – so then you have to agree and maybe go for chocolate.”

Challenges of cooperative learning

While pupils’ views of cooperative learning were generally very positive, some difficulties were reported. For example, P3 and P7 pupils highlighted difficulties associated with dealing with conflict and disagreement within the group. One pupil specified an instance where another group member was writing something down that she disagreed with. Similarly, a P4 pupil said: “Working together can be a bit hard at times if other ideas are not as good or if they only think of ordinary phrases and I know better ones.” Avoiding argument emerged as another challenge, with members of the P3 focus group recalling, “we didn’t work as a team, we got into an argument”, and members of a P7 group stating: “It is difficult if you have an answer, and the other person has a different answer and you need to agree as you can only have one answer; it is difficult to agree. And also if two people have two good answers but they are different and you need to agree, it can get into an argument.”

S5 pupils deviated somewhat from the other groups in terms of their views of cooperative learning. Specifically, they felt that cooperative learning often encouraged them to do less work as typically they would work for a while and then “just start talking”. When asked whether they work more effectively when using cooperative learning, one member of the group stated that she preferred to work on her own, 6 members were not sure and one member stated that it depended on the subject. The group did, however, agree that they would like to do more cooperative learning, but added, “in balance; you would just skive if you did it all the time.” The S5 group also felt that cooperative learning worked better in subjects where people could express different views (e.g. English) than in subjects that involved teaching facts (e.g. science).

Summary

All pupils had a general understanding of the concept of cooperative learning and most had a good grasp of the cooperative learning principles. Pupils valued the skills that they had developed in cooperative learning and there was some evidence to suggest that they were using these skills in other life domains. Teachers were seen to promote independent group work and assist only where necessary and, in some schools, teachers provided strategies to facilitate skill development. Pupils across focus groups were generally very positive about cooperative learning and perceived numerous benefits, including enhanced social confidence and more effective learning, which was more interesting and fun than standard lessons. At the same time, however, a number of disadvantages

were highlighted relating to resolving conflict within groups and dealing with different ability levels. Older pupils (S5) were more reserved about the benefits and perhaps felt that they had already developed many of the interpersonal skills promoted in cooperative learning.

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's Cooperative Learning Project

Summary of parent interviews

One hundred parents of pupils across four schools were sent a letter inviting them to participate in a short telephone interview at a time which suited them about cooperative learning and its perceived impact on their children. Nineteen parents indicated they were willing to be contacted for interview. Telephone interviews were eventually carried out with 12 parents of pupils at 2 primary and 2 secondary schools. (Table 1 shows the year groups and gender mix of pupils.) Attempts were made to contact all parents who were willing to be interviewed but not all were able to be contacted.

Table 1: Year group and gender of pupils

Year group of children	Boy	Girl
Primary 2		1
Primary 3	2	
Primary 4		4
Primary 5		1
Primary 6	1	1
Primary 7	1	1
2 nd year	1	
3 rd year	3	1
6 th year	3	

Parental knowledge about cooperative learning

The interviews showed that parental knowledge about cooperative learning is somewhat limited. Of the 12 parents interviewed, eight claimed that they knew “nothing at all” or “not much” about cooperative learning, or that their knowledge was limited to what was conveyed in the letter from Strathclyde University, requesting their participation in this piece of research. Although two parents stated that they knew a “little bit” about the concept, it emerged that they thought it referred to establishing a partnership between parents and schools, as the following quote illustrates:

It's getting parents involved, you know. I mean I've got a really good relationship with the school; I can go up at anytime. And I think it's important for parents to be involved because that's the only way to help your kids.

Only a minority of parents (n=2) claimed to know what it entailed and described the concept in terms that reflected the information that had been provided to them:

It's where the children partner up and work in different groups with different children.

Quite a lot actually, because when my son's school started to use it they sent us information to read through and they also arranged a conference for parents. I'm on the parent teacher school board and they arranged a conference for us that was set up in the style of cooperative learning, so we could actually experience it. That was really useful.

Dissemination of information from schools to parents

A number of parents (n=7) claimed to have received no information about cooperative learning from schools ("I haven't had anything from the school", "I haven't received anything from the school"), or thought that they "probably had" but that they hadn't read it; "they probably have given me something, but I don't remember".

Of those who did remember having been briefed about the concept (either at a Parents' Night or via the letter from researchers at Strathclyde University), most then requested some clarification about what the concept entails: "Well, on Parents' Night we got told a bit about it, but what is it exactly?"

Parent/child talk about cooperative learning

Although the dissemination of information about cooperative learning seems to have been problematic, once the concept was explained, parents could recount instances of their children talking about their experiences with them. Furthermore, five parents said that when their children spoke about cooperative learning, they did so in very positive terms. One parent told how her child "really enjoys working with others", and is getting "a lot out of the interaction with other kids". Another related how her very shy (primary aged) daughter had developed confidence and self-assurance through such work:

My little girl has got a lot out of it. She's been involved in classroom discussions and has actually now spoken solo. She used to be really shy and wouldn't talk at all in the classroom, but she now contributes to these discussions. She used to hate group work but the teacher has really encouraged her and she's now quite confident.

Similarly, another parent spoke of her (teenaged) son's increasing self-esteem as a direct consequence of teacher involvement and of cooperative learning:

I have seen my eldest son going to [school] a nervous wreck and he's now a completely different person because the school picked up on it (on his low self esteem) and put him into lots of different projects and linked him up with others and put him in different groups and he's really come out of himself. I think the school has done that with others too – sussed out which children have low esteem and involved them and brought them out of themselves.

If parents mentioned negative aspects of cooperative learning, these were associated with the younger age of pupils, with some parents suggesting that early primary school students may find such work stressful:

I think my youngest finds it a bit unsettling. I think Primary 2 is too young really to be moving from group to group.

Overall, the interviews suggest that parents and their children were talking in positive terms about cooperative learning. When children were *not* discussing cooperative learning, this seemed to be a consequence of a general reticence to discuss issues with parents, rather than a reluctance to discuss cooperative learning *per se*, as the following quotes illustrate:

He's like all typical teenage boys; if I get a one word grunt I'm lucky! So no, he hasn't talked about it really.

I said to him 'Jason I've got this questionnaire, I'm willing to have an interview, what should I know?' And he just said, 'It's what goes on in the classroom', as if I should know all about it already.

Impact of cooperative learning on children

Overall, parents were very positive about cooperative learning, highlighting a number of positive impacts on children. Parents reported children gaining in confidence and overcoming barriers to classroom participation:

It's had a big impact. My little girl used to be really shy and now she isn't and is joining in and learning something.

They noted an increased enthusiasm for learning as a consequence:

It's definitely made my son more enthusiastic about what he's doing at school.

Parents noted benefits from sharing the learning experience, in terms of facilitating team-work, increasing awareness of different abilities and developing awareness of their own abilities in relation to others:

It enables them to share different experiences. I know that my daughter finds it reassuring that other kids sometimes experience difficulties with the same things she does. I think it helps them to know that others don't always find it easy either.

I think it makes them more able to work as a team and to share – share what they know as well as share tasks and resources.

Perceived importance of working cooperatively

Cooperative working was perceived to be very important by the sample of parents interviewed, with all 12 describing it as “important” or “very important”.

A number of parents (n=4) mentioned the benefits associated with developing social skills ('I think it's important for developing social skills and how to get on with people') and 'learning from others':

I think it's a good way to learn from others and gain support and also develop social skills at the same time.

This peer support was perceived to be important throughout a child's schooling; from primary to secondary, as this quote from a parent of a secondary school pupil shows:

And now that they're revising I think working together is helpful because they can support one another and share information. I think it's helpful for kids like Rebecca who tend to hold back when in a group and it encourages them to speak and take part more.

The ability and opportunity to work cooperatively was seen to be valuable for children in the long term, as they progressed through their school careers, and then later on in the workplace:

Very much so, particularly in this day and age, I think in any working environment nowadays it's imperative to have these skills – being able to work with others and able to communicate your own ideas effectively.

Cooperative learning was also seen as important in the short term, as it sustained motivation and enthusiasm for schoolwork:

I think it's really important. I think it teaches them about how to get on with others and work with others and they enjoy it, which is important at school too.

Conclusion

In these interviews with 12 parents, cooperative learning was perceived positively by parents themselves and by their children. Parents recounted instances of their children speaking with them about this form of learning, and described the ways in which it had increased their confidence and enthusiasm for learning. Parents themselves rated cooperative learning as very important, with perceived benefits including learning from others, developing social skills, increasing awareness of pupils' own and others' abilities, increasing confidence and overcoming barriers to classroom participation. Parents emphasised both the long- and short-term benefits of cooperative learning, in terms of facilitating pupils' education and developing transferable skills for the workplace. Although the dissemination of information about the concept (from schools to parents) was problematic, with the majority of parents claiming either not to have received information or not to have read it, cooperative learning was seen to be a valuable teaching strategy and numerous instances of the concept in action were recounted.

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's cooperative learning project

Report of classroom observations

Purpose and rationale for observations

The main purpose of the classroom observations was to write up a descriptive analysis of a number of good practice cooperative units of learning. A semi-structured approach was taken and a fairly open observation framework was used, focusing on exemplification of the 5 cooperative learning principles in practice. In addition, the observation also took account of the appropriateness of the classroom environment, the resources used and the role of the teacher in facilitating the cooperative nature of the lesson.

Description of classes observed

During the period between 6 December 2006 and 23 January 2007, 9 classroom observations were undertaken by 2 members of the evaluation team. The majority of observations were conducted in cooperative learning lessons from start to finish; on 3 occasions only part of the lesson was observed. Where it was not possible to observe all lesson components (e.g. objective and purpose sharing or closure/extension), lesson plans were looked at or discussed with the teacher.

The observations were spread across the 5 case study schools as follows:

Secondary A - 4 observations
Secondary B - 1 observation
Primary A - 1 observation
Primary B - 1 observation
Primary C - 2 observations

The observations in Secondary A took place over 2 days.

The following stages were observed:

Primary 3
Primary 4
Primary 5
Primary 6
Secondary 1
Secondary 3
Secondary 5 (x 3)

Based on data from 8 classes, class sizes varied from 13 to 30 pupils, with the average class size being 22 (this information was not collected in 1 observation). In these 8 classes there was a total of 175 pupils. In 5 of these classes there was a total of 48 boys and 56 girls (this information was not collected in 3 observations). All classes were mixed gender, with the majority of classes having a higher number of girls. The greatest difference between genders was to be found in two S5 classes which respectively had 7 boys to 19 girls (ratio of 1:2.7) and 6 boys to 14 girls (ratio of 1:2.3).

The subjects addressed in the lessons were:

Higher Physical Education	Problem Solving
Higher English	Religious Education (x 2)
Higher History	Writing/Language
Chemistry	Environmental Studies – Technology

The majority of the observations lasted between 50 minutes and one hour; 3 observations were of different lengths: one hour and 20 minutes, 30 minutes and 15 minutes.

The teachers and pupils observed had a wide range of cooperative learning experience: one teacher had been using cooperative learning approaches for about 2 to 3 years but was using it for the first time with the class which was observed; another class had started cooperative learning this academic year; while a number of other classes had been using the approach for about 2 years.

Learning objectives

A range of subjects and topics was observed. Curriculum foci and learning objectives of the lessons observed included:

- theories of three stages of skill learning – the ‘whys’ and ‘whats’ of the preparation or cognitive stage, practice or associative stage and automatic stage
- revision of close reading skills – synthesis (drawing out what is the same from two passages), demonstrating understanding by drawing out ideas in your own words, and showing how the writer used language to express ideas by quoting examples
- appeasement – in addition revision for forthcoming assessment and improvement of recall strategies (mind maps, mnemonics, rap, etc.)
- element bonding and formulae writing without diagrams
- problem solving – read the problem and put it into your own words, listen to each other, explain your own thinking, report back accurately and evaluate work as a group
- language/RME – academic tasks: sequencing and sentence writing; social tasks: listening and taking turns
- writing, language and conferencing – building on children’s vocabulary and improving story writing skills
- technology – academic task: understanding physics of balance and force; social task: teamwork
- one lesson was designed to introduce the class to cooperative learning for the first time – learning objectives covered were sharing ideas, taking roles and social skills (e.g. being polite).

In the vast majority of the lessons observed, the teacher introduced the unit of learning by explaining the learning and/or teaching goals and success criteria verbally. In some lessons, learning targets were shown on a flip chart or whiteboard and throughout the lesson they were referred to. A number of lessons observed were part of a sequence of units of learning; on these occasions it was generally sufficient to remind pupils of the objectives as outlined in a previous lesson and to explicitly link these to their past learning experiences. A number of primary teachers made use of the WALT (We Are Learning To...) technique, where learning intentions for each task were written on the whiteboard. The majority of primary and early secondary lessons were introduced as having both an academic and social task. Examples of social skills addressed include listening, being polite, working together and using quiet voices. Various teachers asked their pupils to consider what the particular social skills mean or why they are important. In one lesson a list of what it means to be polite was drawn up and in another lesson criteria for listening and talking skills were reviewed verbally with the children through question and answer sessions. These stages are in line with Bennett’s Mental Set and Objective and Purpose Sharing lesson design components as outlined in the Cooperative Learning Resource Booklet.

Some teachers noted that, although the benefits of cooperative learning are acknowledged, a lot of secondary school work in higher classes and at Higher level is done more individually and social skills are not always explicitly pointed out for these children. One secondary teacher specifically emphasised the importance of communication and social skills (e.g. talking to each other, all taking part and not getting angry) in addition to the learning skills.

Where a lesson had a number of distinguishable tasks, the activities were mostly introduced separately by the teacher or in an instruction sheet which was handed out. Sometimes instruction was given to a specific role (‘Now all reporters should come to the front of the class and read their segments in order to compose a story’) or pupil number (‘Please could all number threes pick up the sheets and pencils for their group’). In several lessons it was noted that after instructions had been explained or read, any child in a group could be asked to explain what the task was, so there was ongoing checking of understanding of task. In the lesson where cooperative learning was used for the

first time, the icebreaker activity of personal storytelling was used. This task promotes storytelling about personally significant events, experiences or concerns and can be powerful for teambuilding (Craigie & Green in Cooperative Learning Resource Booklet). In the lesson name badges were created with some of the pupils' favourite things written on them (e.g. place, food, singer); to the pupils' enjoyment, the teacher exemplified the activity by demonstrating her own preferences with supportive materials (e.g. Cliff Richard calendar!).

In many cooperative learning activities and particularly at primary school level, roles were assigned in order to promote positive interdependence amongst group members, e.g. one group consisted of a resource manager, timekeeper, reporter, air-traffic controller and social skills monitor while another one had a word finder, writer, checker and reporter.

A number of teachers explicitly listed evaluation as part of the lesson targets. Some made use of evaluation and group processing sheets in order for the pupils to evaluate the group successes and areas for improvement.

Cooperative learning activities and resources used

A number of cooperative learning lessons were part of a lesson sequence and therefore followed on from a previous lesson. On these occasions, the teacher referred to previous units of learning and according objectives.

Most cooperative group forming was predetermined by the teacher, mainly to make the most of the given group dynamics and to ensure a mixed ability setup (also refer to 'Role of the teacher'). With regard to group formation, different activities were observed; e.g. coloured cards, numbers or chocolate bars were given out and pupils had to find others with the same card, number or chocolate bar respectively. Other group formation activities included allocated seating, the use of the appointment clock (where each child has a drawn up clock in which peers' names are written at 3, 6, 9 and 12 o'clock and the teacher specifies which appointment needs to be worked with) and the jigsaw activity (where the pupils are handed part of a picture and need to find the other 'jigsaw' parts).

A number of the observed lessons used the 'expert group' approach. The pupils started in their base groups, then went on to learn a new element of a particular topic in an expert group and subsequently had to go back to their base group or the whole class to disseminate and teach the newly learnt skill or knowledge. For example, in a Higher Physical Education lesson, expert groups were formed with each focusing on one stage of learning a skill, i.e. the cognitive, associative and automatic stages. Pupils then returned to their base group and each had to teach their particular stage to the rest of the group. Interestingly, in the same class this approach was used as an energiser half-way through a double period. The expert groups each learned a few moves/steps of a dance, after which they then taught the others in their base group to eventually put all moves together in a sequence – an activity which appeared to be greatly enjoyed by the class. A Higher History lesson used graffiti sheets to facilitate the process of brainstorming. In the base groups, one sheet for each of five topics was passed around and each person in each group was required to write down as much as they could think of in relation to the topic. The pupils were then re-grouped and each expert group focused on identifying the key points of a particular topic and ways of remembering them, after which the pupils went back to their initial base groups to teach the rest of the group what they had learned. The objective of expert groups is to reach higher order learning, i.e. thinking that occurs at higher levels of abstraction. By teaching what they have learned, pupils will reinforce their own learning and improve retention of content, as illustrated in the learning pyramid (Craigie & Green in Cooperative Learning Resource Booklet).

In one observed Higher English lesson 'placemats' were used; pupils had to brainstorm ideas on two text passages after which they were required to write facts and a set of agreed opinions in the placemat. A sorting exercise was also undertaken by these pupils and a grid was used to help them justify their choice of which text passage was more favourable. They had to support their choice by referring to language used in the text and by generating ideas on both texts in their own words. A similar sorting task was done in a language and conferencing lesson at primary level; here the children made use of 'my text detective boards' ('I like this word because...' or 'It is an adjective because...').

To further reinforce the learning with regard to the 'whats' and 'whys' of the three stages of learning a skill in the PE lesson, blank concept maps were completed by the students (a concept map is a diagram showing the relationships between different concepts or abstract ideas).

In a primary language and writing lesson, children participated in a peer-assessment exercise in which they had to read other's story in pairs and check its spelling, punctuation and vocabulary by using a dictionary and thesaurus. Another cooperative learning activity in this lesson revolved around reading the big book in which the teacher had blanked out some words for the pairs to guess and discuss.

One lesson was specifically designed to introduce cooperative learning to this class for the first time in the particular subject. Activities were:

- organising the class into groups (group-formation)
- getting to know each other by discussing favourite things (personal story-telling)
- joint task deciding on a group name and logo (team-building)
- a brief whole class group processing activity.

In a small number of lessons competition between groups was encouraged. An observed technology lesson outlined two main objectives: firstly, pupil groups had to try and build a tower with art straws that could stay upright and secondly, the groups had to compete with each other to make the tallest tower.

Role-assignment to promote positive interdependence was evident, particularly at primary school level. In most lessons individual accountability was structured into the lesson by asking any one member to respond on behalf of the group or through individual assessment. In some lessons 'spot checks' – quick fire question and answers – were run and individual group members were asked to answer a question ('Please could number 2 of this group tell us what the key stages of learning a skill are?'). In one lesson the group was allowed to 'huddle' and give a group answer if the particular individual did not know the answer (also refer to 'Exemplification of the five principles').

Materials and resources used in the cooperative learning lessons observed were task-specific; e.g. sheets of paper with placemat and grid pre-drawn, question & answer cards, mapping sheets, instructions, sequence boards, word mats, 'My text detective boards', masking tape and art straws. In most lessons resources used also included topic materials (e.g. chemistry booklet with listing of all elements) and work or notes from previous lessons.

Classroom environment

The beneficial educational outcomes of cooperative learning groups are due to the interaction patterns and verbal exchanges that take place among pupils (Johnson & Johnson & Smith in Cooperative Learning Resource Booklet). The basic element of face-to-face interaction ensures that group members are close in proximity to each other and take part in dialogue that promotes continued progress (Rolheiser-Bennett, Bennett and Stevahn in Cooperative Learning Resource Booklet). In a number of classroom environments in which observations took place, this interactive process was facilitated by enabling pupils to work face-to-face, knee-to-knee and eye-to-eye. In other cooperative learning setups, the children were instructed to work with their 'shoulder partner' and tables were often laid out in a way for pupils to see both each other and the teacher easily. In a number of classrooms, available space and furniture was limited. Tightly packed classrooms with little room to manoeuvre, fixed furniture and resources not easily accessible for all may not be conducive to cooperative learning. However, despite these practical limitations, staff and pupils seemed to be very willing and able to work cooperatively in the restricted physical environment available to them.

In some classrooms, displays relating to cooperative learning were observed. Pupil work from previous cooperative lessons was seen in the form of 'mind map galleries'. These mental pictures enable children to reflect on visually recorded relationships between different concepts and promote fluency and organisation of thoughts (Rolheiser-Bennett, Bennett and Stevahn in Cooperative Learning Resource Booklet). Colourful overviews of cooperative learning activities and benefits, including a number of social skill displays (e.g. 'encouraging phrases'), were also noted.

Exemplification of the five principles

To accomplish effective cooperative group work it is essential for the lesson to be structured around the 5 basic elements of positive interdependence, individual and group accountability, face-to-face promotive interaction, social skills and group processing. Our observations focused on seeing these elements in practice.

Positive interdependence

This element refers to the fact that team members need each other to succeed in completing the group's task. Pupils must feel that they 'sink or swim together'. One way of instilling this principle is through the establishment of mutual goals in which pupils must learn something and then teach it to others. This was demonstrated in a number of lessons observed through the use of expert and base groups, as reported above. The objective was for the students to reach higher order learning by reinforcing their own learning and improving retention of content through teaching on their expert area.

Another method of promoting positive interdependence that was observed is the provision of materials that need to be shared amongst group members, e.g. art materials or a text book.

Joint rewards for group achievement, another method of encouraging positive interdependence, consisted mainly of general praise and well-done remarks by the teacher. Inter-group cooperation was encouraged in one primary class where groups rewarded each other with a number of different claps, e.g. the crocodile clap or royal wave. Positive outside force interdependence exists when groups are placed in competition with each other: this was encouraged in an observed primary task where groups were competing with one another to build the tallest tower.

Finally, a high number of cooperative group members had been assigned roles which encouraged the contribution of all individuals. Assigned roles were mainly observed in primary classes and included both work and skill roles such as manager, resource boss, word finder, encourager, writer, time-keeper, checker and reporter. In some of the lessons observed, the role of the general manager and encourager was to monitor if everybody was playing their part in completing their task. In most groups the responsibility assignment seemed to work well, but at times a child seemed to be confused or unhappy about their role, e.g. in one lesson disagreement between a writer and his reporter partner occurred when the reporter was allowed to choose a particular word for feedback which the writer was unhappy with. In another lesson the cooperative learning group blamed the writer during the feedback stage, even though they should all have been telling him what to write. On both of these occasions, the teacher addressed the issue. One teacher advised after the lesson that she ensures she regularly changes role formation to provide variety and let all children experience the different responsibilities.

Individual accountability

This element needs to be structured into the cooperative learning lesson to ensure that each pupil feels responsible for learning the material, helping the group and demonstrating the accomplishment of the learning. In the lessons observed this was promoted through random whole-class checking, where individuals were asked to report on behalf of their group to the class and their teacher. The role of reporter was often used and in one classroom this process was referred to as 'stand and deliver'. A number of lessons used the learning-teaching principle, where pupils must learn the material in expert groups and then teach it to others in their base groups. This also promotes individual accountability, as all pupils need to learn and record the material in some way for it to be re-taught.

On some occasions the observed lesson was part of a series in which a later lesson was specifically organised for individual reporting or assessment. In some lessons the teacher asked the pupils to reflect on their individual contributions and, if they were unhappy with their contribution, to consider why this might be. In another lesson formative assessment was ongoing through the use of small white-boards on which pupils were to write their answers and thoughts and show them to the teacher and class.

Peer assessment was also endorsed in a number of lessons through correction and support provided by the group or rest of the class. The focus of one primary lesson was self and peer evaluation

through proofreading, correcting, conferencing and justifying their work. The task required them to read each other's story and edit their spelling, punctuation and vocabulary by using a dictionary and thesaurus. Another activity involved the writer to be peer-assessed, e.g. the checker had to ensure that capitals, full stops and the word 'because' had been used.

Face-to-face and promotive interaction

As outlined in the 'Classroom environment' section, the interactional process is facilitated through enabling pupils to work face-to-face and eye-to-eye; for example, in one classroom this was encouraged by letting pupils work at round tables. On some occasions constructive talk and interaction amongst pupils was noted but in most cases, particularly at primary level, the teacher's constructive remarks were needed to help the teams challenge each other or identify alternatives. One primary group, who were building a tower as part of a technology lesson, attempted to get their tower to stand up by taping it to the floor, which was quite inventive!

Checking for understanding within groups was observed occasionally, although at primary level the children tended to go to the teacher for help and instructions. We observed pupils actively listening to each other and agreeing or, when appropriate, coming to a compromise when challenged by a group member's point of view. Some primary pupils did challenge each other during the cooperative learning task, but had not yet mastered talking it through or resolving it.

A lot of on-task working was seen, although a number of groups had one or two pupils discussing things unrelated to the activity.

Generally most children worked well together and there were occasions where children actively helped each other, e.g. with the spelling of a particular word. In some groups more reflection or discussion took place, whereas in others one particular pupil took a strong lead in completing the work and other team members took on a more passive role. Appropriate support and task assistance from the teacher meant that all children could eventually contribute and learning was progressed.

Some praise and acknowledgement of each other's contribution was observed (e.g. "Well done", "That is a good idea").

Interpersonal and social skills

In the majority of lessons the pupils were displaying general cooperative behaviour, although some groups and individuals were better than others at taking turns, pacing group work, including everyone and saying kind things. Some competitiveness was noted during the task in which the groups were encouraged to build the tallest tower ("He is looking at our tower!! Don't look but build your own!!" "Your tower is rubbish, anyway"). However, not many disagreements or conflicts arose during the work. At times the specific setup of the task ensured all children were allowed to have a say, e.g. in a language activity both pair members were encouraged to pick 3 words from a story. In some pairs or groups observed there clearly was a more 'dominant' child leading on the work to be done; it is possible that at times the other children just accepted their view rather than challenging this and working to reach a consensus.

The majority of primary and early secondary lessons were introduced as having both an academic and social task. Examples of social skills addressed include listening, being polite, working together and using quiet voices and these objectives were mainly met. Intermittently, teachers had to remind pupils to use their quiet voices, to encourage each other and to share resources, but mostly pupils seemed to work well together and reach agreement on the task as required.

As noted above, the S5 lessons put less explicit emphasis on social skills. One teacher did remind the class that the social skill they would be focusing on was listening and sharing information (but that was possibly for the benefit of the observer!). Discussions with the teachers after the lessons indicated that it was considered less necessary by this stage as the students had a good understanding of what was required. At Higher level there was also generally more emphasis on individual work in preparation for examinations and so cooperative learning might be used less often.

Group processing

In order to progress their learning and improve, pupils need time and procedures for analysing how well their group is functioning and how well they are using collaborative skills (Johnson, Johnson &

Smith in Cooperative Learning Resource Booklet). Group processing can be done individually, in small groups or with the whole class and in many lessons observed this evaluation was an explicit part of the learning targets and lesson plans. At times the group processing was embedded within the activity, which meant evaluation was ongoing during the cooperative learning task.

On one occasion individual pupils were asked to complete an evaluation sheet, but in most lessons it was reviewed verbally how the children had succeeded with the activity, how well they had worked together and what could have been done better. In addition to these evaluation questions for the children, a number of lessons or scrutinised lesson plans specifically included assessment foci for the teacher, e.g. did the children succeed with the activity on hand, did they work well together and did they stick to their individual roles?

A number of the observed lessons ran out of time, so that the group processing stage was moved to the next lesson – this time lapse between the task and its evaluation may not be as effective as group processing immediately following the cooperative learning activity.

Role of the teacher

The role of the teacher in facilitating effective collaborative group work is of paramount importance. One of the teachers interviewed as part of this evaluation said: “Planning for cooperative lessons may take time, but during implementation you can take a more facilitative role which enables you to more effectively monitor pupils’ behaviour and learning”. The teacher’s role in establishing effective cooperative learning groups starts with the lesson design process and continues from implementation of the cooperative learning activities to evaluation of the lesson.

Before the cooperative lesson

The teacher has to make a number of decisions before the cooperative lesson is offered. Academic and social objectives need to be chosen, group size, membership and structure needs to be decided upon and the material and physical environment needs to be planned.

In the observed lessons, most of the group formations had been predetermined by the teacher to enable heterogeneity (see also ‘Cooperative learning activities and resources used’). Some of the schools in which we observed made use of cooperative learning lesson plan templates, which enabled the teachers to easily record and structure the lesson framework and embedding of the 5 principles.

At the start of and during the cooperative lesson

Most teachers in the lessons observed specified academic and collaborative objectives and linked these to pupils’ past learning experiences to establish meaning and interest – this develops a ‘mental set’ in the pupils (Bennett in Cooperative Learning Resource Booklet). Throughout the lesson the majority of teachers referred to and emphasised the purpose of the activities.

In all lessons the teacher interacted with the groups or with individuals within the groups. The teachers monitored the different groups and moved around the room to answer questions for separate groups. To ensure the children understood the task, teachers asked different individuals to report their answer. Checking for understanding is a process that assists teachers to monitor the learning and determine if the students have attained an appropriate level of competence, which can help them to make adjustments to their teaching (Bennett in Cooperative Learning Resource Booklet). Where pupils were having trouble with an activity, the teacher provided task assistance and helped with knowledge aspects of the task.

All teachers identified and resolved difficulties and most encouraged pupils to share and help each other. When a problem in the group occurred, younger children tended to go to the teacher for help rather than talking it through and resolving it in the group. Reminders were given to encourage pupils to share resources, and inter-group cooperation was promoted by encouraging children to listen to other group reporters during the feedback stage.

In some lessons expected behaviours were clearly specified, e.g. in one of the primary observations children were asked and reminded about the skill of using quiet voices.

Besides monitoring and facilitating effective group work, we also saw some good examples of teachers building on what the children were doing by identifying and utilising opportunities for further learning. Examples include the suggestion for children to use a ruler to measure which tower is the tallest.

At the end of the cooperative lesson

Group processing was done by a majority of the teachers observed. At times, evaluation was ongoing during the cooperative learning tasks. A small number of primary teachers used the fist of 5 in order for children to indicate their opinion by showing a fist or a number of fingers. Whole-class checking was done on a number of occasions and individuals were asked to give answers on behalf of their group. To reinforce pupil learning and provide closure to the lesson, most groups were encouraged to share and discuss answers. Some teachers ended with explicit praise on how the children's knowledge in the curricular area or on the lesson topic was improving.

After the classroom observations, teachers were asked to reflect on what went well and how the particular lesson observed compared to other lessons they had run cooperatively. Most spoke of the pupils' improvement in the area of cooperative learning. It was felt that the more often cooperative learning was used, the more effective pupils became in compromising, reaching agreements and group processing. Most pupils were said to be engaged in constructive dialogue, although some (primary) teachers said pupils needed to be actively encouraged to resolve problems arising in the group rather than immediately turning to them for help. Some early primary teachers felt that young children work better in pairs than in groups and although most teachers reported on the benefits of cooperative learning, the need to balance it with individual teaching approaches was emphasised.

Summary of strengths and challenges

In the 9 lessons observed, the main strengths can be identified as follows:

- Some good lesson planning was observed and lesson plan recording covered the lesson design stage right through to the processing and evaluation phase
- In the vast majority of the lessons observed, the unit of learning was introduced by linking it to pupils' previous knowledge – from this linking process, clear and meaningful objectives were outlined
- The majority of lessons were introduced as having both an academic and social task
- Heterogeneous groups are the most powerful and pupils were assigned to cooperative learning groups accordingly
- Peer assessment was used and well structured into the cooperative learning units
- Learning was consolidated and reinforced through a number of activities, e.g. re-teaching and the use of concept maps
- In most lessons (some of) the 5 basic principles were embedded
- Evaluation was listed as an explicit part of the learning targets for a number of lessons
- Group processing was strong in a number of lessons.

Some challenges that were noted are:

- There are some practical limitations with regard to physical environment.
- The inclusion of social skills is promoted as an essential component of cooperative learning. However, the need for explicit reference to social skills was considered less of a priority at upper secondary level as pupils had well-developed skills and also tended to work more individually in preparation for exams. Observation of other classes may have shown instances of higher level social skills; at that senior stage there is potential to focus on the application of social skills in more complex situations such as democratic decision-making, conflict resolution, diplomacy and debating skills.
- There is a need to promote constructive dialogue within cooperative learning groups, e.g. to encourage children to resolve problems and think through alternatives with their peers (i.e. the promotive interaction principle).
- Time limitations do not consistently allow for all lesson stages to be implemented, e.g. formal lesson plan recording or the group processing phase sometimes get overlooked, but are essential for teachers and pupils to progress their respective teaching and learning processes.

Reference

Ward, C. & Craigen, J. (1999). Cooperative Learning – A Resource Booklet

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's Cooperative Learning Project

Report of teacher survey

This survey was carried out online in February 2007. Invitations to complete the survey were sent by the local authority to all schools that had teachers trained in using cooperative learning. The request was sent in a letter signed by the Director of Education. In discussion with the local authority it was agreed that the survey should focus on classroom practice and therefore, although other people had been trained, the questionnaire should be addressed to teachers; secondly, as the authority were particularly interested in the benefits of the support provided by the authority, only those who had completed the authority's 3-day training academies should be asked to complete it. The authority indicated that the following numbers of teachers had completed the 3 day academy:

- Primary teachers 1026
- Secondary teachers 595
- Special school teachers 65
- Nursery teachers 5

To obtain a representative sample of each group, responses would be required from about 300 primary, 250 secondary and as many of the special school teachers as possible. Despite a reminder being sent to all schools and an extension to the closing date, only 207 responses were received. This was disappointing. This return gives an indication of the views of teachers in North Lanarkshire who have received training in cooperative learning, although it cannot be taken as a truly representative sample. There is nothing to suggest that it is unrepresentative, although self-selection may mean that the more committed have chosen to respond.

The data are presented in this annexe following the questions in the survey. Where totals do not add up to the total sample, this is because of a small number of missing responses. Where percentages do not add up to 100, it is because of the missing responses and rounding to the nearest whole number. Any variations from this pattern are explained in the text.

In most cases, the figures represent the sample as a whole. The data were investigated to see if there were any differences between subsets of the sample; for example, primary teachers and secondary teachers, frequency of use of cooperative learning since training, frequency of embedding cooperative learning principles in lessons and time since initial training. These were investigated using t-test and ANOVA or equivalent non-parametric tests (Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis) as appropriate to the data. Significance level was set at $p < 0.05$. Relationships and differences have been highlighted, although the details of the inferential statistics have not been reported.

The sample

Out of the 207 respondents, 8 indicated that they had not used cooperative learning at all since they had attended the academy. These 8 were extracted from the main dataset and analysed separately. The results presented first are based on 199 respondents, with the views of the 8 given at the end.

1. Name of school

The respondents represented:

- 23 of the authority's 25 secondary schools
- 50 of the authority's 127 primary schools
- 4 of the authorities 23 nursery schools/centres*
- 3 of the authority's 11 special schools.

* As noted above, only a small number of nursery teachers had been trained.

2. Which sector do you teach in?

Responses were received from the different sectors as follows:

	n	% of sample	% of CL trained teachers
• Nursery	4	2%	80%
• Primary	96	48%	9%
• Secondary	86	43%	14%
• Special schools	13	7%	20%
• Total	199	100%	12%

Of the special school teachers, 4 were primary, 6 were secondary and 3 were from 'all through' schools. The special teachers in secondary provision were all based in mainstream secondary schools. For the purposes of analysis, the nursery respondents have been combined with the primary responses.

3. Question about stage of teaching for primary teachers

Table G1: Classes taught by primary teachers

stage	P1	P1/2	P2	P2/3	P3	P3/4	P4	P4/5	P5	P5/6	P6	P6/7	P7	3+ yrs	not given	total
n	11	4	1	4	3	2	8	4	9	1	7	3	12	10	17	96

Primary teachers in the sample represented a wide spread across the different stages of primary school. Of the 69 who were teaching a specific age and stage, approximately half were from P1 to P4 and half from P5 to P7. The 17 for whom specific year groups were not recorded were principal teachers, head teachers and deputy head teachers. Of the 10 involved in 3 or more years, 5 indicated they were class teachers and so may have been support staff, but this category of staff was not an option offered in the survey. The others were principal teachers or deputy head teachers.

4. Secondary teachers – year groups and subjects taught

Secondary teachers were asked to indicate which subjects they taught, the year groups they taught and whether or not they used cooperative learning with these classes.

The 86 secondary teachers reported teaching the following subjects:

• Science	15 teachers
• PSE	15 teachers
• RME	14 teachers
• English	14 teachers
• Mathematics	11 teachers
• History	7 teachers
• ICT	7 teachers
• Modern Studies	6 teachers
• Geography	6 teachers
• Music	6 teachers
• Modern languages	5 teachers
• Tech Studies	5 teachers
• Drama	2 teachers
• Art & Design	2 teachers
• Home Economics	1 teacher
• PE	1 teacher
• Other	14 teachers

These add up to more than the total number of teachers in the sample because some teach more than one subject. While these figures suggest that cooperative learning has been introduced across all subject areas in secondary schools in North Lanarkshire, they cannot be taken to be representative of the extent to which cooperative learning is used; they merely represent the teachers who chose to respond to the survey.

The 86 teachers reported 325 occurrences of cooperative learning in use across all year groups and all the above subject areas. They reported 94 occurrences of cooperative learning not being used. The details according to subject area are given in table G2.

Table G2: Reported occurrences of classes by subject area – including and not including cooperative learning

Subject area (No of teachers)	total number of classes taught	number of classes in which CL is used	number of classes in which CL is not used
Science (15)	65	56	9
PSE (15)	30	27	3
RME (14)	32	32	0
English (14)	38	33	5
Maths (11)	31	18	13
History (7)	19	19	0
ICT (7)	17	14	3
Modern Studies (6)	21	19	2
Geography (6)	24	18	6
Music (6)	33	16	17
Modern Languages (5)	23	14	9
Tech Studies (5)	26	17	9
Drama (2)	6	6	0
Art & Design (2)	11	7	4
Home Economics (1)	5	3	2
PE (1)	4	2	2
Other (14)	34	24	10
Total	419	325 (78%)	94 (22%)

The teachers reported using cooperative learning more frequently than not using cooperative learning with their classes. Mathematics and music are the only two subjects where teachers in this sample were not using cooperative learning with a high proportion of classes (42% of maths classes and 52% of music classes). A tentative conclusion is that where teachers are familiar with cooperative learning principles they are more likely to use it than not across their classes.

Table G3: Reported occurrences of classes by year group – including and not including cooperative learning

Year group	total classes	number of classes in which CL is used	number of classes in which CL is not used
S1	73	70 (95%)	3
S2	77	68 (88%)	9
S3	83	62 (75%)	21
S4	77	52 (68%)	25
S5	68	47 (69%)	21
S6	41	26 (63%)	15
total	419	325 (78%)	94

The 86 teachers reported teaching across all year groups with a slightly higher representation of S3 classes and a lower representation of S6 classes. This sample reported a very high use of cooperative learning with S1 and S2 classes (95% and 88% respectively). The other years had over two-thirds of classes where cooperative learning was used. The lowest use was in S6, where just over 60% usage was reported.

5. Gender

There were 35 male teachers (18%) and 163 female teachers (82%) in the sample. They represented the sectors as follows:

Sector	male	female
• nursery/primary	8 (8%)	91 (90%)
• secondary	24 (28%)	62 (72%)
• special	3 (23%)	10 (77%)

6. How long have you been teaching?

- 1 – 5 years 33 (17%)
- 6 – 10 years 42 (21%)
- 11 – 15 years 32 (16%)
- 16+ years 91 (46%)

Just under half the sample had been teaching for more than 16 years, with less than a fifth in the first 5 years of teaching.

7. What is your main role in school?

Table G4: Main role of participants by sector

	Class teacher	Principal teacher	Deputy head	Headteacher
nursery/primary	60 (60%)	18 (18%)	10 (10%)	12 (12%)
secondary*	39 (46%)	33 (39%)	19 (12%)	2 (2%)
special	8 (62%)	4 (31%)	1 (8%)	0
total	107 (54%)	55 (28%)	21 (11%)	14 (7%)

* 2 missing responses

Note: where responses are analysed to investigate if the people in different roles had a different perspective, the DHT and HT roles were combined to represent one senior management role.

Training and development for cooperative learning

(Note: 5 respondents from one secondary school did not answer the questions on training and development although they responded to the remainder of the questionnaire; the responses in this section are, therefore, based on the 194 who did respond.)

8. When did you attend the 3-day academy training?

- 2002 8 (4%)
- 2003 18 (9%)
- 2004 32 (16%)
- 2005 69 (36%)
- 2006 56 (29%)
- 2007 11 (6%)

The majority of the respondents (71%) had completed the 3-day academy training since 2005.

9. Please indicate which of the following you have attended.

- Recall Day 1 101 (52%)
- Recall Day 2 16 (8%)
- CL in every lesson 25 (13%)
- Social Skills Day 9 (5%)
- Other 17 (9%)

While just over half of the respondents had attended the first recall day, only a small number had attended a second recall day. Other training that had been attended included twilight sessions (4), school staff meetings run in cooperative way (3) school inset (2), 2006 conference (2), training for trainers (2), a 2-day course (1), course for supporters (1), EU course (1).

10. Please indicate how helpful you have found the following activities. If you have not participated in some of them then please choose 'not relevant' as appropriate.

The options given in this question included both the most frequent formal training, ie the 3-day academy and the recall days. The other options represented a range of potential sources of support, and therefore, the 'not relevant' option is important as it is an indicator that people had not experienced them.

With respect to how helpful people had found the 3-day academy, the responses were:

- Very helpful 170 (88%)
- Helpful 22 (11%)
- Adequate 1 (0.5%)
- Not at all helpful 0

It is therefore safe to say that all respondents found the 3-day academy a very satisfactory experience. There was some difference between primary and secondary respondents, with 94% of primary teachers indicating the training was very helpful, compared to 80% of secondary teachers.

The responses of the 101 (52%) who attended the recall days were:

- Very helpful 68 (67%)
- Helpful 25 (25%)
- Adequate 7 (7%)
- Not at all helpful 1 (1%)

Again the majority found the recall days a positive experience, though with a smaller proportion opting for very helpful; 78% of primary teachers thought the recall day was very helpful, compared to 52% of secondary teachers, who were more likely to indicate it was helpful.

The numbers and percentages (of the 194 who responded to the question about training) who reported taking part in other activities were:

- LA intranet and First Class 134 (69%)
- networking with my colleagues 133 (69%)
- school meeting on coop lines 122 (63%)
- teacher produced materials 110 (57%)
- support from authority DOs 93 (48%)
- in-house training 92 (47%)

The most frequently reported forms of support were making use of the LA intranet and networking with colleagues. Almost two-thirds had experienced school meetings run in a cooperative way and

the majority had shared other teacher-produced materials. Almost a half had worked with the authority development officers; and almost a half had taken part in in-house training.

The respondents' views on how helpful each of these were are given in table G5. The percentages are based on those who had participated in the named activity.

Table G5: Perceived helpfulness of various types of development activities

	Not at all helpful	Adequate	Helpful	Very helpful	m (sd)
Networking with school colleagues (133)	-	9 (7%)	72 (54%)	52 (39%)	3.32 (0.60)
Teacher-produced materials (110)	2 (2%)	8 (7%)	71 (65%)	29 (26%)	3.15 (0.62)
In-house training (92)	2 (2%)	18 (20%)	37 (40%)	35 (38%)	3.14 (0.81)
School meetings on coop lines (122)	7 (6%)	18 (15%)	56 (46%)	41 (34%)	3.07 (0.85)
Support from authority development officers (93)	8 (8%)	19 (20%)	36 (39%)	30 (32%)	2.95 (0.94)
LA intranet and First Class (134)	9 (7%)	35 (26%)	66 (49%)	24 (18%)	2.78 (0.82)

Overall, the majority of those who had experienced each kind of support found them helpful. However, the activities most likely to be found beneficial were those based in the school, with networking with colleagues found to be the most helpful. Although using the LA intranet had been reported as one of the most frequently accessed sources of support, it was the one found to be least helpful.

Primary teachers were more positive than secondary teachers with regard to the benefits of in-house training (60% v 31% very helpful) and school meetings run using cooperative learning approaches (63% v 31% very helpful). Senior management were more positive than class teachers on the benefits of in-house training (67% v 26% very helpful) and support from the development officers (55% v 27% very helpful).

11. What support would you like to take forward your use of cooperative learning?

One hundred and twenty-nine teachers answered this question. While three teachers commented that they had received sufficient support to implement cooperative learning techniques, the others identified support that could enhance their use of cooperative learning. Their responses represented the following themes: training opportunities, internal support, provision of resources and policy development.

In terms of training opportunities, the most frequently mentioned suggestions included provision of recall days/refresher courses and increased opportunities to shadow and network with internal and external cooperative learning practitioners. Recall days and further in-service training were seen to be particularly useful, with 30 teachers reporting that they would like to attend such courses in the future. Typical comments included the following: *I'd like to be given the opportunity to attend a recall day just to refresh my mind on what I learned during the initial 3 day training course and to discuss successes with other teachers.* Three teachers also reported their interest in attending a social skills training day.

Eleven teachers commented that they would appreciate the chance to observe, either in person or by video, other teachers or development officers to gain an insight into how they implement the techniques. Several teachers (7) commented that they had found support provided by local authority development officers to be extremely useful and that continued support through school visits would be appreciated. One teacher felt that collaborative opportunities with specialist practitioners would be particularly helpful: *It would be useful if a specialist in cooperative learning came in to co-teach a few co-operative learning lessons (would help consolidate theory covered on the course).* More time to network with other teachers to discuss materials and share ideas was mentioned by a number of teachers (20). It was generally felt that high volumes of preparation time were required and that

consultation with teachers internally as well as liaison with teachers from other schools would help to lessen the workload through sharing of resources.

Several teachers felt that more internal support was required for them to successfully implement co-operative learning techniques. As mentioned above, it was perceived that more time was required to network with and observe other practitioners. Due to the perceived time-consuming nature of lesson preparation, nine teachers reported that they required more time to develop materials. One school appeared to have resolved this issue to some extent through provision of a monthly 'drop-in clinic' for co-operative learning. Another teacher suggested that it would be useful to have a committee within the school that cascades ideas and materials.

One of the most common themes concerned provision of cooperative learning resources. Twenty teachers reported that the provision of a resource bank of tested materials would be useful. Such a resource might contain examples of good practice and ways of solving problems. One teacher, for example, noted that it would be helpful to have *resources produced and explained by other teachers that have used the techniques. These could be volunteered by teachers all over Scotland and put into a booklet that schools could buy.* A further 8 teachers identified the need for more subject-specific materials for lesson use and an additional 9 teachers suggested that it would be helpful if teachers submitted lesson plans and resources to support cooperative learning activities on the intranet. Finally, 2 teachers identified the need for more resources to assist in lesson planning and 1 teacher suggested that a forward plan checklist would be useful to ensure that teachers use a balanced range of cooperative learning activities.

In addition to the time taken to develop cooperative learning materials, it was felt that curriculum requirements present competing demands that often result in activities such as cooperative learning being low priority. In the light of this, some teachers saw the need for policy development relating to cooperative learning. Specifically, 4 teachers felt that all teachers should be trained in cooperative learning. A further 6 teachers suggested that cooperative learning should be embedded in the curriculum by way of whole school policies that ensure cooperative learning is implemented in every class.

Using Cooperative Learning

Note: in some of the tables that follow there are a small number of missing responses. In the open section at the end a few teachers explained that they had not responded to all questions as they had only recently been trained and, although they had tried a few lessons, felt they did not have enough experience to respond to the questions.

12. How often have you used cooperative learning since completing the Academy?

Table G6: Frequency of use of cooperative learning by sector

Sector	Regularly	Occasionally
Nursery/Primary	65 (65%)	35 (35%)
Secondary	34 (40%)	52 (60%)
Special	7 (54%)	6 (46%)
Total	106 (53%)	93 (47%)

Primary teachers are more likely to report using cooperative learning on a regular basis than secondary teachers, though around one-third of primary teachers report occasional use. The responses were analysed to see if people in different roles responded differently, but just over 50% of class teachers, principal teachers and senior managers reported regular use. All 3 groups were more likely to use CL in primary school than secondary.

(Note: questions 13 and 14 were for those who responded to a third option, 'I've not used it'. As indicated above, 8 people chose this option. Their views are reported at the end of this appendix.)

15. Please indicate how you have found developing and using cooperative learning principles with your pupils.

Table G7: Extent of challenge to teachers in using cooperative learning principles

V Ch = very challenging; Ch = challenging; Sf = straightforward; V Sf = very straightforward*

	V Ch	Ch	Sf	V Sf	m (sd)
Sharing academic and social goals	2 (1%)	44 (22%)	109 (55%)	41 (21%)	2.96 (0.69)
Opportunities for developing social skills	3 (2%)	47 (24%)	108 (54%)	36 (18%)	2.91 (0.69)
Team and group building	6 (3%)	59 (30%)	92 (46%)	36 (18%)	2.82 (0.76)
Requiring individual accountability	4 (2%)	74 (37%)	103 (52%)	15 (8%)	2.66 (0.65)
Group processing activities	4 (2%)	81 (41%)	92 (46%)	19 (10%)	2.64 (0.68)
Encouraging positive interdependence	6 (3%)	83 (42%)	84 (42%)	24 (12%)	2.64 (0.73)

* V Ch = 1 to V Sf = 4; therefore the higher the mean, the more straightforward respondents found using the principle.

The majority of respondents found introducing the principles of cooperative learning straightforward or very straightforward, though between a quarter and 45% found some aspects challenging. Sharing academic and social goals and providing opportunities for developing social skills provided the least level of challenge (with around a quarter finding these challenging). Around one-third found using team and group building challenging, while the more specific cooperative learning principles of individual accountability, group processing and positive interdependence provided the greatest challenges, with approximately 2 in 5 indicating these were challenging to develop and use.

Secondary teachers were more likely to indicate that some aspects were challenging compared to primary teachers, namely:

	% challenging or very challenging	
	secondary	primary
• sharing academic and social goals	36%	12%
• opportunities for developing social skills	40%	13%
• requiring individual accountability	51%	32%
• encouraging positive interdependence	55%	37%

16. How often do you prepare a lesson or unit of learning that embeds all 5 cooperative learning principles?

Table G8: Frequency of embedding all 5 cooperative learning principles

Sector	Never	Sometimes	Most times	Always
Nursery/primary	0	42 (42%)	45 (45%)	13 (13%)
Secondary	9 (11%)	41 (46%)	29 (34%)	7 (8%)
Special	0	6 (46%)	5 (39%)	2 (15%)
Total	9 (5%)	89 (45%)	79 (40%)	22 (11%)

Primary teachers are more likely than secondary teachers to indicate that they embed all 5 cooperative learning principles when preparing a lesson or unit of learning – 58% compared to 42% who do it most times or always.

Effects of cooperative learning

Note: In the tables in this section, items that are asterisked are those where there is a statistically significant difference in responses between primary and secondary respondents. In all cases, primary teachers respond more positively than secondary teachers. This is discussed at the end of this section. (Note: analysis done using t-test; $p < 0.05$)

Pupils

17 - 20. A Curriculum for Excellence emphasises the importance of developing 4 capacities in young people which will lead them to be successful learners, confident individuals, responsible citizens and effective contributors. In your experience of using cooperative learning so far, how much does it contribute to developing these capacities in your pupils?

Please rate the following aspects of pupils' development from 1 to 5:

1 = I am not aware of cooperative learning helping with this, to 5 = I have noticed high levels of progress in pupils in relation to this aspect through the use of cooperative learning.

The statements for these questions were derived from the attributes listed in *A Curriculum for Excellence* documents in relation to the 4 capacities to be developed through the curriculum. [Scottish Executive (2004) *A Curriculum for Excellence: The Report of the Review Group*]

The responses to these statements are reported in tables G9 to G12.

Table G9: Contribution of cooperative learning to developing successful learners

1 = no contribution to 5 = high levels of progress

Q17 Successful learners	1	2	3	4	5	m (sd)
learning as part of a group	0	3 (2%)	32 (16%)	93 (47%)	69 (35%)	4.16 (0.74)
enthusiasm for learning	0	6 (3%)	42 (21%)	89 (45%)	59 (30%)	4.03 (0.80)
thinking creatively	0	10 (5%)	55 (28%)	83 (42%)	48 (24%)	3.86 (0.85)
openness to new ideas	0	7 (4%)	57 (29%)	88 (44%)	42 (21%)	3.85 (0.80)
aiming for high standards of achievement*	0	15 (8%)	61 (31%)	92 (46%)	28 (14%)	3.68 (0.81)
thinking and learning independently	0	9 (5%)	79 (40%)	73 (37%)	35 (18%)	3.68 (0.82)
ability to apply learning in new situations	1 (0.5%)	22 (11%)	81 (41%)	67 (34%)	25 (13%)	3.47 (0.87)

Table G10: Contribution of cooperative learning to developing confident individuals

Q18 Confident individuals						
	1	2	3	4	5	m (sd)
ability to relate to others*	0	6 (3%)	56 (28%)	82 (41%)	50 (25%)	3.91 (0.82)
communicate their own beliefs*	1 (0.5%)	11 (6%)	63 (32%)	96 (48%)	24 (12%)	3.76 (0.78)
self-respect*	3 (2%)	10 (5%)	63 (32%)	88 (44%)	31 (16%)	3.69 (0.86)
ability to manage themselves*	0	11 (6%)	75 (38%)	85 (43%)	25 (13%)	3.63 (0.78)
a sense of well-being*	3 (2%)	13 (7%)	69 (35%)	81 (41%)	29 (15%)	3.62 (0.87)
self-awareness*	0	16 (8%)	80 (40%)	77 (39%)	23 (12%)	3.55 (0.81)
development of secure values and beliefs*	7 (4%)	23 (12%)	89 (45%)	55 (28%)	21 (11%)	3.31 (0.94)

Table G11: Contribution of cooperative learning to developing responsible citizens

Q19 Responsible citizens						
	1	2	3	4	5	m (sd)
willingness to participate*	0	9 (5%)	46 (23%)	94 (47%)	49 (25%)	3.92 (0.81)
respect for others*	0	11 (6%)	57 (29%)	94 (47%)	35 (18%)	3.78 (0.80)
making informed choices*	1 (0.5%)	11 (6%)	85 (43%)	82 (41%)	18 (9%)	3.53 (0.76)
understanding of beliefs and values of others*	0	21 (11%)	84 (42%)	77 (39%)	14 (7%)	3.43 (0.78)

Table G12: Contribution of cooperative learning to developing effective contributors

Q20 Effective contributors						
	1	2	3	4	5	m (sd)
ability to work in partnerships and teams	0	3 (2%)	36 (18%)	93 (47%)	65 (33%)	4.12 (0.75)
ability to communicate in different ways and in different settings	0	10 (5%)	58 (29%)	94 (47%)	35 (18%)	3.78 (0.79)
problem-solving abilities*	0	8 (4%)	61 (31%)	94 (47%)	32 (16%)	3.77 (0.77)
ability to lead	0	15 (8%)	65 (33%)	81 (41%)	35 (18%)	3.69 (0.85)
developing enterprising attitudes*	3 (2%)	17 (9%)	83 (42%)	63 (32%)	29 (15%)	3.50 (0.91)
self-reliance*	1 (0.5%)	14 (7%)	97 (49%)	64 (32%)	17 (9%)	3.42 (0.77)
resilience*	0	25 (13%)	102 (51%)	54 (27%)	15 (8%)	3.30 (0.79)

Overall, it is clear that respondents are largely in agreement that cooperative learning is making a strong contribution to the development of the 4 capacities identified in *A Curriculum for Excellence*. Those where the strongest effect was noted were, unsurprisingly, learning as part of a group and ability to work in partnerships and teams, and also enthusiasm for learning. While still being seen to

have a generally positive effect, the attributes less likely to be seen as being developed were the ability to apply learning in new situations, development of secure values and beliefs, understanding of beliefs and values of others, self-reliance and resilience.

21. The following are some of the researched benefits of cooperative learning. To what extent have you observed these benefits in relation to your pupils?

Table G13: Observed benefits to pupils

1 = I have not observed this to 5 = this is very evident

	1	2	3	4	5	m (sd)
improved inter-group relations*	3 (2%)	9 (5%)	47 (24%)	90 (45%)	43 (22%)	3.84 (0.88)
more on-task behaviour	4 (2%)	16 (8%)	54 (27%)	80 (40%)	39 (20%)	3.69 (0.96)
better attitudes to teachers	12 (6%)	15 (8%)	65 (33%)	65 (33%)	31 (16%)	3.47 (1.06)
better attitudes to school	13 (7%)	20 (10%)	64 (32%)	65 (33%)	26 (13%)	3.38 (1.07)
improved integration of special needs students*	26 (13%)	17 (9%)	54 (27%)	62 (31%)	21 (11%)	3.19 (1.21)
increased academic attainment*	15 (8%)	34 (17%)	77 (39%)	52 (26%)	9 (5%)	3.03 (0.99)

While respondents were largely indicating that they observed the reported benefits of cooperative learning with regard to their pupils, several items revealed more disagreement across the sample, namely better attitudes to teachers, better attitudes to schools and the improved integration of special needs students. There were no differences between sectors with respect to attitudes to teachers and schools, but primary respondents were more likely than secondary respondents to report improved integration of special needs students. The small sample of special needs teachers were positive about integration, with 10 out of 13 rating towards the positive end of the scale. The respondents were less convinced about evidence of increased academic attainment, with primary teachers more likely to agree they had observed this than secondary teachers.

22. We are interested in the extent to which you think cooperative learning has enhanced your teaching practice. This does not mean that these things were not true of you as a teacher before you took part in cooperative learning training, but that cooperative learning has allowed you to develop them further. Please indicate the extent to which you think CL has helped you develop in the following ways:

Table G14: Benefits to teachers from cooperative learning

1 = not at all to 5 = very much

Cooperative learning has	1	2	3	4	5	m (sd)
provided me with a wider range of teaching skills	1 (0.5%)	8 (4%)	31 (16%)	73 (37%)	81 (41%)	4.16 (0.88)
increased my motivation and enthusiasm for teaching*	9 (5%)	13 (7%)	45 (23%)	64 (32%)	64 (32%)	3.83 (1.10)
assisted with classroom management*	10 (5%)	19 (10%)	40 (20%)	64 (32%)	60 (30%)	3.75 (1.15)
helped me develop better relations with pupils*	5 (3%)	17 (9%)	50 (25%)	73 (37%)	47 (24%)	3.73 (1.01)
helped me get to know pupils better*	8 (4%)	20 (10%)	50 (25%)	73 (37%)	44 (22%)	3.64 (1.07)

Overall, respondents were very positive about the contribution of cooperative learning to their own teacher development, in particular in relation to developing a wider range of teaching skills. Views, although still broadly positive, were more widespread with respect to impact on motivation, classroom management and developing relationships with pupils. Primary teachers tended to be more positive in their responses than secondary teachers.

23. Cooperative learning can have an impact on the whole school. Please indicate the extent to which you think the following is true of your school.

Table G15: Benefits to schools from cooperative learning

1 = this is not true of our school to 5 = this is very true

	1	2	3	4	5	m (sd)
Cooperative learning approaches are used within the school, for example, in staff meetings, planning meetings, CPD	26 (13%)	43 (22%)	51 (26%)	36 (18%)	34 (17%)	3.05 (1.30)
Cooperative learning approaches have enabled me to work more collaboratively with colleagues in my school or department*	26 (13%)	45 (23%)	51 (26%)	47 (24%)	25 (13%)	3.00 (1.24)
<i>For secondary teachers only (n = 86)</i> Using cooperative learning has encouraged collaboration across departments and subjects	20 (22%)	24 (26%)	26 (28%)	13 (14%)	9 (10%)	2.64 (1.25)

Overall, respondents were less positive about the benefits to the school compared to benefits to teachers and pupils. While over a third indicated that cooperative learning approaches were in use more generally within schools and were encouraging more collaborative working, at the other end of the scale over a third indicated that these were not true of their schools. In secondary schools, almost half indicated that cooperative learning was not encouraging collaboration across departments and subjects.

Differences between subsets of the sample

As noted throughout, there were numerous items on which primary respondents were more positive than secondary respondents. When the data were investigated to see if there were differences in responses based on the frequency of use since training and the frequency of embedding the 5 principles in lesson planning, significant differences emerged in both cases. However, as noted above in Tables G6 and G8, primary teachers reported using cooperative learning more frequently and they were also more likely to embed the 5 principles in their lessons. There is clearly a relationship between sectors, frequency of use and opportunity to embed all 5 principles. To investigate the effect of frequency of use and embedding of the principles, primary and secondary data were investigated separately. Length of time since training was also used as a basis for comparison.

Both primary and secondary respondents who indicated that they had used cooperative learning regularly since their training were no more likely to indicate that they embedded all 5 principles most of the time than those who indicated they used cooperative learning occasionally.

However, a higher proportion of those who trained between 2002 and 2004 reported using cooperative learning regularly (primary = 84%; secondary = 66%) compared to those who trained later (primary = 56%; secondary = 31%) – possibly because they are reflecting over a long period of time and have had more opportunity to use it. Those who trained earlier were no more likely than those who trained later to indicate they embedded all 5 principles most of the time.

In the following tables, items have been marked with a tick where they have shown statistically significant differences between groups on the independent variables noted in the column heading. In relation to all items where differences were noted, the **more positive responses** were given by:

- a) those who reported using cooperative learning regularly
- b) those who reported embedding the 5 principles most times or always and
- c) those who trained earlier.

Table G16a: Statistically significant differences between different groups in the sample in relation to 4 capacities of *A Curriculum for Excellence*

	Regular cp Occasional use of CL		Embed 5 principles: most times/ always cp never/sometimes		Time since trained: 2002-2004 cp 2005-2007	
	Prim	Sec	Pri	Sec	Pri	Sec
Successful learners						
learning as part of a group	ü	ü		ü		
enthusiasm for learning	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	
thinking creatively	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü
openness to new ideas	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü
aiming for high standards of achievement*	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	
thinking and learning independently			ü	ü		
ability to apply learning in new situations			ü	ü	ü	
Confident individuals						
ability to relate to others*	ü	ü			ü	
communicate their own beliefs*	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	
self-respect*	ü	ü	ü			
ability to manage themselves*	ü	ü	ü			
a sense of well-being*	ü	ü			ü	
self-awareness*	ü	ü	ü	ü		
development of secure values and beliefs*	ü		ü			
Responsible citizens						
willingness to participate*	ü	ü	ü		ü	
respect for others*	ü	ü		ü	ü	ü
making informed choices*	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	
understanding of beliefs and values of others*	ü	ü	ü		ü	
Effective contributors						
ability to work in partnership and teams	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	
ability to communicate in different ways and in different settings	ü	ü	ü	ü		
problem-solving abilities*	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	
ability to lead	ü	ü	ü			
developing enterprising attitudes*	ü	ü			ü	
self-reliance*	ü		ü	ü	ü	
resilience*	ü	ü	ü	ü	ü	

Table G16b: Statistically significant differences between different groups in the sample in relation to reported benefits of cooperative learning

	Regular v Occasional use of CL		Embed 5 principles: most times/always cp never/sometimes		Time since trained: 2002-2004 cp 2005-2007	
	Pri	Sec	Pri	Sec	Pri	Sec
improved inter-group relations*	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü	
more on-task behaviour	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü
better attitudes to teachers	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü
better attitudes to schools	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü
improved integration of special needs students*	Ü		Ü		Ü	
increased academic attainment*	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü	

Table G16c: Statistically significant differences between different groups in the sample in relation to teacher benefits

Teacher benefits: Cooperative learning has	Regular v Occasional use of CL		Embed 5 principles: most times/always cp never/sometimes		Time since trained: 2002-2004 cp 2005-2007	
	Pri	Sec	Pri	Sec	Pri	Sec
provided me with a wider range of teaching skills		Ü	Ü	Ü		
increased my motivation and enthusiasm for teaching*		Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü	
helped me develop better relations with pupils*	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü	
assisted with classroom management*	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü	
helped me get to know pupils better*		Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü	

Table G16d: Statistically significant differences between different groups in the sample in relation to whole school benefits

	Regular v Occasional use of CL		Embed 5 principles: most times/always cp never/sometimes		Time since trained: 2002-2004 cp 2005-2007	
	Pri	Sec	Pri	Sec	Pri	Sec
Cooperative learning approaches are used within the school, for example, in staff meetings, planning meetings, CPD	Ü				Ü	
Cooperative learning approaches have enabled me to work more collaboratively with colleagues in my school or department*	Ü		Ü		Ü	
<i>For secondary teachers only</i> Using cooperative learning has encouraged collaboration across departments and subjects						

While primary respondents tended to be more positive than secondary respondents about contributions towards the 4 capacities of *A Curriculum for Excellence*, other benefits to pupils and to themselves as teachers, in both sectors, those who indicated that they had used cooperative learning regularly were more positive than those who used it occasionally, and those who reported including the 5 principles in lessons most times or always were more positive than those who reported embedding them only sometimes or never.

In the primary sector, teachers who were trained in the early stages of introducing cooperative learning in the authority tended also to be more positive than those trained later. One might speculate that this was because they had had longer experience and more time both to develop expertise and to observe benefits. Another reason might be that they were the early volunteers and enthusiasts. However, the same distinction does not exist amongst the secondary teachers.

Comments from respondents

Sixty-five teachers provided additional comments about their use of cooperative learning. These fell into the 2 broad themes of positive experiences/outcomes and barriers encountered.

Numerous positive experiences of using cooperative learning were reported. The majority of teachers commented that it was an extremely valuable tool which provided a “breath of fresh air” for teachers and served as an excellent motivational tool for pupils. A number of teachers stated that their training in cooperative learning provided a new tool for teaching, which in some cases had completely “re-energised” and “galvanised” their approach to teaching and even altered their outlook on their jobs. For example, one teacher commented that the training had *increased [her] motivation and enthusiasm for [her] job immensely*, while another 2 teachers reported that their introduction to cooperative learning was one of the most valuable experiences of their careers. One teacher also claimed that she can no longer teach without cooperative learning techniques: *Cooperative learning has had a huge impact on my teaching. Now I can't teach without using cooperative learning. I am an avid fan and so are my pupils*. Apart from providing a valuable tool, the cooperative learning approach captured the interest of a number of teachers, one of whom had chosen to write a Masters dissertation on adopting a whole school approach to co-operative learning.

In addition to reporting positive experiences, many teachers reported positive outcomes associated with cooperative learning techniques. Specifically, they felt that pupils enjoyed and looked forward to learning and that the techniques helped to engage and include a larger proportion of the class. One teacher stated: *Children are much more involved in the activities; it is harder for anyone to opt out and not participate. Children enjoy having a role; they feel important*. It was noted that the cooperative learning techniques help to provide structure to learning tasks and that they are useful outwith cooperative learning lessons. For instance, one teacher reported on the utility of the techniques within the school's S1 Problem-solving Initiative, while another reported that the techniques are “particularly valuable in EBD as social skills are so discretely taught”. Three teachers also reported that the techniques are of special value among less able pupils who can often become more involved in cooperative learning lessons. Further demonstrating the versatility of the approach to learning, a library resource centre manager reported on its utility for pupils who are engaged in research or resource-based learning. Beyond the classroom, two teachers commented on the effectiveness of cooperative learning for staff meetings. For example, one teacher stated that the techniques had “changed the whole approach and atmosphere for staff meetings” by encouraging all staff to contribute. A few expressed the desire to see this further developed.

An interesting comment on the value of training was made by the library resource manager:

'I obviously work in collaboration with my teaching colleagues and have noticed that staff who have not yet attended the three day course find many cooperative learning techniques difficult to follow. I believe this is partly because the academy provides such an intense-in-depth experience that it is impossible to transfer it in brief planning discussions.'

Despite the obvious benefits of cooperative learning, a number of barriers were reported. Two teachers reported that the techniques were difficult to implement among argumentative or disruptive pupils and several teachers mentioned difficulties created by the open-plan layout of their schools. Other barriers were mentioned by single teachers and so did not emerge as common themes. These

included departmental head staff not 'buying into' the potential value of cooperative learning, resulting in teachers and pupils failing to reap the benefits; difficulties implementing cooperative learning techniques regularly due to the time-consuming nature of lesson preparation; the likelihood of the techniques becoming tedious for pupils; and maintaining staff enthusiasm for cooperative learning. One commented on the school's plan to buy in more resources 'as lack of materials often thwarts use of the techniques'. Finally, one secondary respondent commented that teachers sometimes feel patronised by cooperative learning activities and that 'CPD providers should be careful to select activities that are suitable for professionals'.

Respondents who had not yet started using cooperative learning since training

The respondents who indicated that they had not used cooperative learning since their training were a nursery teacher, 3 primary teachers and 4 secondary teachers.

They had attended the academy as follows:

	2005	2006	2007
nursery			1
primary	2		1
secondary		1	3

Four had found the Academy very helpful and 4 had found it helpful.

Some reported experience of other training activities:

- Recall day – 1 = helpful
- In-house training – 2 = adequate
- Networking with colleagues – 1 = adequate; 1 = very helpful
- Support from authority DOs – 1 = adequate; 1 = very helpful
- Materials produced by other teachers – 1 = very helpful
- School meetings run in coop learning manner – 4 = adequate; 2 = helpful; 1 = very helpful
- LA intranet and First Class – 3 = adequate; 2 = helpful.

For the five who had just completed the course the obvious reason for not having yet used cooperative learning was lack of opportunity, though one did comment:

'I was full of good intentions when I arrived back at the school. Lacking confidence about where to start. Have discussed this with my PT who is also trained.'

One who had been trained in 2005 did not give any explanations. The other who had been trained in 2005 stated:

'Despite training I am still very unsure of how to actually go about selecting worthwhile activities to achieve maximum benefit from them. I also find that the demands of the curriculum in P7 make it difficult enough to get through the work and time for enjoyable activities like coop learning have to be put on the back burner. I do use a variety of group work, pairs etc and find this works well, but do not use co op strategies as well as I should. Also being in an open plan school noise is an issue.'

School refurbishment and the associated upheaval had hindered the teacher trained in 2006 from getting started.

Talking to others who had already introduced cooperative learning to their teaching was seen as the most likely help to get them started, along with discussing it with senior staff who had been trained.

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's Cooperative Learning Project

Report of S1 pupil survey – December 2006

A survey was carried out of S1 pupils in the two secondary schools which were included as part of the main focus of the study. The purpose of the survey was to gather their views on the benefits of cooperative learning generally and also to investigate, in particular, if they perceived benefits in relation to the transition from primary to secondary school. The survey was designed to be carried out online, though paper questionnaires were also provided when this was not possible. In one school, all questionnaire completion was done online, while in the other approximately half were completed online. The introduction had a definition of cooperative learning and teachers supervising the completion of the survey were asked to clarify that the pupils understood what was meant by cooperative learning. The findings are presented here following the outline of the questionnaire.

1. Gender

404 responses were received. Of the sample, 205 were boys (51%) and 199 were girls (49%). There were 226 pupils (56%) from one school and 178 (44%) from the other.

2. Primary school

Pupils came from 29 different primary schools. The majority were from the main associated primary schools – 8 for each secondary school – with 21 children spread across the remaining 13 schools.

3. Did you use co-operative learning at primary school?

Of the 404 respondents, 356 (88%) indicated that they had used cooperative learning in primary school, with 10 (2.5%) responding 'no' and 38 (9.5%) 'don't know'.

4. If you answered 'yes', please tell us how often you used co-operative learning at primary school.

Of the 356 who used cooperative learning at primary school:

- 73 (18%) reported using it 'A lot'
- 202 (50%) 'Quite often'
- 52 (13%) 'Not very often'
- 25 (6%) 'Didn't know'.

There were 4 missing responses.

Two-thirds of the sample indicated that they had used cooperative learning quite often or a lot. Therefore, many of the S1 pupils in these two schools had come to secondary school familiar with cooperative learning practices. This was in line with the information received from the secondary schools – that their associated primaries were all implementing cooperative learning.

5. If you answered 'yes', please tick the subjects in which you did co-operative learning.

The 356 pupils who had experienced cooperative learning at primary school indicated that they had used it in the subjects as noted in table H1.

Table H1: Subjects in which pupils reported using cooperative learning in primary school

Problem Solving	284 (80%)
Drama	255 (72%)
Physical Education (P.E.)	246 (69%)
Language	236 (66%)
Maths	184 (52%)
Religious & Moral Education (R.E.)	158 (44%)
Music	146 (41%)
Environmental Studies	140 (39%)
Health	134 (38%)
Art	120 (34%)
Technology	80 (22%)
Other	36 (10%)

Problem solving, drama, physical education and language were reported by between two thirds and 80% of the pupils as 'subjects' in which they used cooperative learning in primary schools, with about one half reporting its use in maths. The other subjects mentioned included 'topics', fundraising, enterprise, media, making posters, and PSE.

6. Have you had classes in which you have done cooperative learning since coming to the high school?

Of the 404 respondents, 314 (77%) stated that they had done cooperative learning since coming to high school, 54 (13%) stated that they had not and 36 (9%) did not know. In one school, the 'yes' response was 88% and in the other, 64%.

Slightly less had experienced classes where cooperative learning was used in their first term at secondary school (77%), compared to their reported experience in primary school (88%).

7. If you answered 'yes', please tick the subjects in which you have used cooperative learning.

Table H2: Subjects in which pupils reported using cooperative learning in secondary school

(The percentage response is calculated on the 314 who responded 'yes')

English	248 (79%)
Science	231 (74%)
Physical Education	226 (72%)
Music	159 (51%)
Religious and Moral Education	153 (49%)
Any Modern Foreign Language	131 (42%)
Mathematics	132 (42%)
Personal and Social Education	123 (39%)
Drama	119 (38%)
Home Economics	96 (31%)
Modern Studies	94 (30%)
History	56 (18%)
Technological Studies	59 (19%)
Art and Design	58 (18%)
Geography	49 (16%)
Other	5 (1%)

The pupils reported using cooperative learning across all subject areas, but most frequently in English, Science and PE.

Question 8 is about what you think about cooperative learning.

If you answered ‘no’ or ‘don’t know’ to both questions 3 and 6, this means you have not done cooperative learning in either primary or secondary school and the questions are not relevant to you. Please now go to question 12.

If you have done cooperative learning in either primary or secondary school, please answer question 8.

8. We are interested in knowing how much you like cooperative learning and how it has helped you learn. Please tick how much you agree with the following statements.

Note: 21 pupils had not experienced cooperative learning in either primary or secondary school. The results for question 8 are therefore based on the 383 who had experienced cooperative learning in either primary school or secondary school or both. (Primary school only = 69; secondary school only = 27; both = 287).

Table H3: Pupils’ views on cooperative learning

	I disagree strongly	I disagree	I agree	I agree strongly	mean (sd)
c. Cooperative learning helps me to work with others	4 (1%)	19 (5%)	191 (50%)	166 (43%)	3.37 (0.63)
f. Cooperative learning helps me work things out with the help of my friends without asking the teacher	7 (2%)	35 (9%)	219 (57%)	117 (31%)	3.18 (0.67)
e. Cooperative learning encourages me to help others	8 (2%)	34 (9%)	242 (63%)	96 (25%)	3.12 (0.64)
m. Cooperative learning helps with hard work	10 (3%)	50 (13%)	201 (53%)	116 (30%)	3.12 (0.73)
d. In cooperative learning I learn problem solving because we all share our ideas	2 (0.5%)	47 (12%)	238 (62%)	93 (24%)	3.11 (0.62)
n. I would like to do more cooperative learning	18 (5%)	44 (11%)	198 (52%)	116 (30%)	3.10 (0.78)
k. When we do cooperative learning I know when I have made a good contribution	7 (2%)	48 (13%)	246 (64%)	76 (20%)	3.04 (0.64)
i. I understand things better when we do cooperative learning	9 (2%)	70 (18%)	203 (53%)	94 (25%)	3.02 (0.73)
a. I really look forward to cooperative learning	8 (2%)	34 (9%)	283 (74%)	53 (14%)	3.01 (0.56)
l. When we do cooperative learning I learn what I could do better next time	10 (3%)	66 (17%)	225 (59%)	77 (20%)	2.98 (0.70)
b. I feel that I can do better at school when we do cooperative learning	12 (3%)	67 (18%)	220 (57%)	78 (20%)	2.97 (0.72)
j. I am better at planning answers when we do cooperative learning	12 (3%)	85 (22%)	218 (57%)	63 (16%)	2.88 (0.71)
h. Cooperative learning teaches me how to be nice to everyone	24 (6%)	81 (21%)	219 (57%)	55 (14%)	2.80 (0.76)

Note: Totals do not always add up to 383 and 100 percent due to a small number of missing responses and rounding of figures. Letters in left column refer to order of items in questionnaire.

Overall, the pupils were very positive about their experience of cooperative learning and were aware of the benefits of it in relation to their interactions with other pupils and in relation to their work. Working with others and helping others were amongst the most highly rated aspects of cooperative learning. Over 80% of the sample agreed or strongly agreed that working out problems with friends without asking the teacher for help, assisting with problem solving through sharing of ideas and helping with 'hard work' are ways in which cooperative learning helps them learn.

However, it is also worth noting that over 25% disagreed that cooperative learning helped them to plan their answers better, or taught them how to be nice to everyone. About one-fifth disagreed that they could do better at school when using cooperative learning.

9. What do you like most about cooperative learning?

All pupils made a comment in this open question (although 3 were 'don't know' and 2 said they 'did not like anything'. Generally, 3 main themes were addressed – benefits in terms of developing friendships and relationships (133 comments), of working in groups (114 comments) and of getting the work done (56 comments).

Some examples of the comments are:

- Developing friendships and relationships:
 - *it is really good to be able to work with your friends*
 - *it was a good way to make friends*
 - *it helps you learn to socialise*
 - *you learn more about other people and their opinions*
- Working in groups
 - *It is good to work with others ... in groups*
 - *It was good to discuss things in groups*
 - *You can share your opinions and ideas*
 - *It is more fun than working by yourself*
- Getting the work done
 - *It makes the work easier*
 - *Everyone contributes to the work*
 - *It helps people who find the work hard*
 - *You don't have to rely on or wait for the teacher*
 - *working in groups improves the quality of the work*
 - *'You can get the answers more easily because there are more brains working together'.*

Other points mentioned were that they were able to talk in class without getting into trouble; they didn't have to write down things as much as when working individually.

10. & 11. Is there anything you don't like about cooperative learning? If yes, what?

Just over one-third (132) indicated that there were some things that they did not like about cooperative learning. The comments related to difficulties associated with working with people you don't like and choice of who you work with (39 comments), a range of problems associated with group work (36 comments), disagreements in the group (20 comments) and contributing and making your opinion heard (18 comments).

Examples of comments are:

- **Working with people you don't like**
 - *I don't like having to work with people I don't like*
 - *You don't get to chose the group you are in*
 - *We always work in the same groups; it would be good to change about*

- **Group work problems**
 - *I don't like it when one person takes over and is bossy*
 - *If people are lazy the work doesn't get finished*
 - *It gets very noisy in the classroom*
 - *If everyone in the group is stuck at a particular question then they can't help each other*
 - *Sometimes the group works too quickly and people get left behind*
- **Disagreements**
 - *I don't like it when there are arguments in the group*
- **Contributing and being heard**
 - *It is hard to say what you think*
 - *I don't like it when people don't listen to me*
 - *I find it hard speaking out in the group*
 - *If you don't agree with the answer you have to put it down because everyone else thinks it is right.*

Additionally, some indicated that they preferred working by themselves, some found it boring, some didn't like specific roles (eg being the timekeeper). The issue of behaviour was also raised in that if some people misbehave, the work does not get done and everyone gets into trouble.

Moving up!

Starting secondary school is a time of change. It is exciting and sometimes also a bit frightening to move to up to high school. In the following questions we will ask you about how you experienced the move to high school.

Note: question 12 was answered by the whole sample (404).

12. Please tick the words that best describe how you felt when you moved to high school.

- Happy 264 (65%)
- Nervous 263 (65%)
- Looking forward to it 247 (61%)
- Excited 246 (61%)
- Grown up 211 (52%)
- Sad 32 (8%)
- Indifferent 27 (7%)

The pupils chose positive feelings about moving schools as opposed to being sad, although nervousness was reported almost equally to being happy about the change.

Over half (235) chose to add extra comments about how they felt.

The comments were both negative and positive – with many expressing a combination of both. The most common emotions were excitement and being nervous. Expectations included making new friends, learning new things, being given more responsibility, enjoying having different teachers. On the other hand fears related to not making friends, being bullied, finding the work hard and getting lost.

- 13. There are different ways in which you can prepare for and settle in to high school. Please tell us of which of the following things you took part in how helpful you found it.**

Table H4: Pupils' views on how helpfulness of different transition activities

	How helpful was it?			
	not helpful	a little helpful	Very helpful	Did not do this
Meeting with my new classmates from other primary schools	9 (2%)	86 (21%)	290 (72%)	19 (5%)
Visits to the high school before you started	25 (6%)	103 (26%)	275 (68%)	16 (4%)
Starting to learn the subjects we would be getting in the high school while still in P7	26 (6%)	124 (31%)	230 (57%)	23 (6%)
Meeting some of my new teachers	25 (6%)	161 (40%)	203 (50%)	15 (4%)
Having an older pupil to talk to and ask for help during first year.	36 (9%)	128 (32%)	203 (50%)	35 (9%)
Activities with the other primary schools (such as sports days or concerts)	34 (8%)	146 (36%)	179 (44%)	44 (11%)
Meeting with pupils who were already at high school	45 (11%)	172 (43%)	174 (43%)	12 (3%)
Working on personal learning planning and setting targets	35 (9%)	176 (44%)	135 (36%)	45 (11%)
Opportunity to have a talk by myself with a teacher about the move	45 (11%)	132 (33%)	131 (32%)	93 (23%)

Most of the opportunities provided to assist in moving from primary school to high schools were perceived as helpful by the pupils. Meeting new classmates and visits to the high schools were the two most helpful things. Starting to learn the subjects for high school in P7 was the next most helpful thing. The pupils were least likely to have had the opportunity to talk on a one-to-one basis with teachers about moving to high school, with just under a quarter saying they had not done this. However, this means that three-quarters had had this opportunity, with about a third indicating it was very helpful and a third indicating it was a little helpful.

- 14. Please tell us about anything else you did which made it easier for you to go to high school.**

Around two-thirds of the pupils made comments. The most frequently mentioned things which assisted were knowing people or relatives already at the high school (38 comments) and going to the induction day at the high school (35 comments). Some mentioned that it would have been better to have more than one induction day. Going with friends and knowing people in the new class was the next most frequently mentioned source of help (35 comments), followed by the support of buddies (33 comments) and talking to others – parents, friends, relatives and teachers (33 comments).

Please only answer questions 15 to 17 if you have done cooperative learning.

- 15. Do you think cooperative learning has helped you during the time of changing school?**

264 pupils (69%) indicated that cooperative learning had helped them during the time of changing school, 40 (10%) said it had not, and 69 (18%) didn't know. Therefore, the pupils appear to have a positive view on the impact of cooperative learning at the transition stage.

16. If you answered ‘yes’, please tell us about one way in which you think it has helped.

Approximately two-thirds made comments. Four themes were evident in the responses: cooperative learning helped them get to know people (123 comments), it improved their confidence (40 comments), it helped them to work with others (31 comments) and it improved their work (27 comments). The latter two might be taken to apply more generally to the benefits of cooperative learning as opposed to specifically helping in the transition context. However, as the most common responses related to getting to know people and gaining confidence, it may be assumed that these were clear benefits at this stage of transition from the skills developed through cooperative learning.

Examples of comments are:

- **Getting to know people**
 - *It helped me make new friends*
 - *It helped me get to know people better*
 - *It made me talk to people I didn't know*
 - *I found out how other people were feeling about changing school*
- **Confidence**
 - *It made me more confident*
 - *It made me less shy*
- **Working with others**
 - *It helped me work better with other people*
 - *It made me listen to others and say what I think as well*
 - *It showed me how to work with other people*
- **Improved work**
 - *It helped me in my work*
 - *I learned more when I worked as a team.*

17. Cooperative learning helps you to use social skills. Please tell us how helpful you think these skills were in helping you settle in to high school.

Table H5: Pupils' perceptions of helpfulness of skills developed in cooperative learning in relation to transition from primary to high school

	How helpful was it?		
	not helpful	a little helpful	Very helpful
Getting to know people	9 (2%)	79 (21%)	285 (75%)
Getting on with other pupils	9 (2%)	85 (22%)	280 (74%)
Reaching agreement	24 (6%)	150 (39%)	197 (52%)
Asking for help	41 (11%)	164 (43%)	169 (44%)
Saying kind things	37 (10%)	172 (45%)	160 (42%)
Listening to everyone	18 (5%)	206 (54%)	151 (40%)
Resolving conflict	50 (13%)	168 (44%)	148 (39%)

Cooperative learning puts great emphasis on the development of social skills and therefore a small selection of social skills, which cooperative learning should help develop, were chosen for this question. Unsurprisingly, the skills perceived as being most helpful when changing schools were getting to know people and getting on with other pupils, with three quarters saying that these were very helpful and almost all the remainder saying they were a little helpful. This is in keeping with the pupils' open responses at question 16.

Over 10% (between 37 and 50 pupils) thought that the skills of asking for help, saying kind things and resolving conflict were not helpful. It is not clear if they felt the skills had not been developed, or if they had not been needed, or if they were, indeed, not helpful skills. It is worth noting that in question 11, a small number also indicated that they did not like it when there were arguments in the groups.

These may be an indication that, at this stage, the pupils still had to further develop the skills of managing conflict and, in the words of some, 'learn to disagree agreeably'.

Gender differences

The responses to question 8 on the benefits of cooperative learning generally and question 17 on the benefits of cooperative learning at transition were investigated for differences between boys and girls. The only statistically significant differences to emerge were in relation to three statements in question 17: cooperative learning helped with 'getting to know people', 'getting on with other pupils' and 'saying kind things'. In both these cases girls were more likely to agree than boys.

	% indicating very helpful	
	girls	boys
• getting to know people	83%	70%
• getting on with other pupils	82%	67%
• saying kind things	49%	38%

(Note: statistical test applied was t-test, $p < 0.05$, although percentages have been presented here.)

Generally, both boys and girls have similar perceptions of the benefits of cooperative learning. At the transition stage girls may be more focused on developing relationships and applying social skills, which could explain their different responses in the above question.

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's Cooperative Learning Project

Extract from pupil survey carried out in Autumn 2004

During the first phase of the evaluation of North Lanarkshire's cooperative learning project, pupils from the 5 main study schools were asked to complete questionnaires. The short questionnaires focused on the perceived benefits of cooperative learning. The findings of these surveys were largely positive, with the majority of respondents indicating that cooperative learning was both enjoyable and effective in helping them learn better.

In consultation with the local authority, a number of the questions were changed for the questionnaire completed by the S1 pupils in December 2006. However, there were 7 statements in the questionnaire for primary pupils and 8 statements in the questionnaire for the secondary pupils which remained the same. These have been extracted and are reported here.

Sample

A total of 459 pupils completed questionnaires – 214 primary and 245 secondary pupils.

The primary pupils were from the following classes:

- P4 – 69 (32%)
- P6 – 66 (31%)
- P7 – 79 (37%).

There were 112 boys (52%) and 102 girls (48%).

The secondary pupils were from the following year groups:

- S1 – 97 (40%)
- S3 – 87 (35%)
- S5/6 – 61 (25%)

There were 124 boys (51%) and 118 girls (48%) (3 missing).

Frequency of use of cooperative learning

Question 3: Please tell us how often you do cooperative learning in lessons

Table I1: Reported frequency of doing cooperative learning

	A lot	Sometimes	Can't remember
Primary	96 (45%)	109 (51%)	9 (4%)
Secondary	55 (22%)	163 (67%)	26 (10%)

Primary pupils were more likely to indicate that they experienced working cooperatively a lot compared to the secondary pupils who were more likely to say they used it sometimes. This is in keeping with information received from schools, that in secondary schools not all classes had teachers who used cooperative learning and development varied across departments.

Question 4: Please tick whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

Primary pupils were asked for a simpler 'yes/no/don't know' response. Secondary pupils were asked to agree or disagree on a 4-point scale.

Table I2: Views of primary pupils on benefits of cooperative learning

	Yes	No	Don't know
c. Cooperative learning helps me to work with others	201 (94%)	2 (1%)	10 (5%)
m. Cooperative learning helps with hard work	182 (85%)	19 (9%)	10 (5%)
a. I really look forward to cooperative learning	180 (84%)	14 (7%)	20 (9%)
n. I would like to do more cooperative learning	179 (84%)	16 (7%)	18 (8%)
e. Cooperative learning encourages me to help others	178 (83%)	12 (6%)	22 (10%)
b. I feel that I can do better at school when we do cooperative learning	155 (72%)	30 (14%)	29 (14%)
h. Cooperative learning teaches me how to be nice to everyone	149 (70%)	32 (15%)	32 (15%)

Table I3: Views of secondary pupils on benefits of cooperative learning

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	m (sd)
c. Cooperative learning helps me to work with others	2 (1%)	21 (9%)	158 (65%)	60 (25%)	3.15 (0.59)
e. Cooperative learning encourages me to help others	6 (2%)	46 (19%)	154 (63%)	36 (15%)	2.91 (0.66)
n. I would like to do more cooperative learning	27 (11%)	49 (20%)	99 (40%)	70 (29%)	2.87 (0.96)
m. Cooperative learning helps with hard work	8 (3%)	64 (26%)	130 (53%)	39 (16%)	2.83 (0.73)
b. I feel that I can do better at school when we do cooperative learning	7 (3%)	56 (23%)	155 (63%)	25 (10%)	2.81 (0.65)
j. I am better at planning answers when we do cooperative learning	18 (7%)	64 (26%)	136 (56%)	27 (11%)	2.70 (0.76)
h. Cooperative learning teaches me how to be nice to everyone	11 (5%)	91 (37%)	114 (47%)	27 (11%)	2.65 (0.74)
a. I really look forward to cooperative learning	9 (4%)	97 (40%)	117 (48%)	20 (8%)	2.61 (0.69)

Table I4: Primary and secondary agreement on the statements on benefits of cooperative learning

	Primary	Secondary
c. Cooperative learning helps me to work with others	94%	90%
m. Cooperative learning helps with hard work	85%	69%
a. I really look forward to cooperative learning	84%	56%
n. I would like to do more cooperative learning	84%	69%
e. Cooperative learning encourages me to help others	83%	78%
b. I feel that I can do better at school when we do cooperative learning	72%	73%
h. Cooperative learning teaches me how to be nice to everyone	70%	58%

On all but one item, the primary children showed stronger agreement with the benefits, with the exception of being able to do better at school. This may have been a more difficult question for the younger children with less experience on which to make this kind of judgement. Notable differences are that the secondary were more negative in relation to looking forward to cooperative learning, being nice to everyone, cooperative learning helping with hard work and their wanting to do more cooperative learning. The benefit which attracted most agreement from both groups was that it helped them work with others.

The secondary data appear to show some inconsistency between looking forward to cooperative learning (56% agreement) and wanting to do more (69%). However, the secondary pupils had reported doing cooperative learning 'sometimes' as opposed to 'a lot' and the less frequent opportunity to do it in secondary school may lead some to want to do it more often. While some may not look forward to cooperative learning this may need to be balanced with a less enthusiastic attitude towards school overall at the secondary stage.

Both primary and secondary datasets were investigated for differences between year groups and gender. (Primary using chi-square and secondary using anova for the year groups and t-test for gender; $p > 0.05$.)

No statistically significant differences were found in the views of the different primary classes. The secondary pupils responses showed that S5/6 respondents were less likely than S1 to agree that cooperative learning taught them to be nice to everyone – 46% compared to 68%. This may be because older pupils feel that such an emphasis is less relevant, or because by that stage there is less of a focus on social skills in the lessons. This may be partly because for many it is considered to be unnecessary as such skills are already developed and also due to the greater emphasis on preparation for examinations (cp findings from interviews and observations in 2006-07 phase of data collection).

There were no differences in the responses between the primary boys and girls. There were, however, differences in responses between secondary boys and girls on 2 items. More boys than girls agreed that cooperative learning helped them do better at school (82% cp 67%) and that they wanted to do more cooperative learning (75% cp 62%).

S1 data

The responses of the S1 group (n=97) have been extracted for comparison with the S1 data collected in 2006.

Table I5: Views of S1 pupils on benefits of cooperative learning

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	m (sd)
c. Cooperative learning helps me to work with others	2 (2%)	5 (5%)	50 (62%)	27 (28%)	3.19 (0.63)
n. I would like to do more cooperative learning	10 (10%)	20 (21%)	30 (31%)	37 (38%)	2.97 (1.00)
m. Cooperative learning helps with hard work	3 (3%)	19 (20%)	52 (54%)	22 (28%)	2.97 (0.75)
e. Cooperative learning encourages me to help others	4 (4%)	17 (18%)	55 (57%)	20 (21%)	2.95 (0.75)
h. Cooperative learning teaches me how to be nice to everyone	5 (5%)	26 (27%)	47 (49%)	18 (19%)	2.81 (0.80)
b. I feel that I can do better at school when we do cooperative learning	4 (4%)	26 (27%)	56 (58%)	10 (10%)	2.75 (0.69)
j. I am better at planning answers when we do cooperative learning	10 (10%)	26 (27%)	47 (49%)	18 (19%)	2.68 (0.86)
a. I really look forward to cooperative learning	4 (4%)	38 (39%)	44 (45%)	10 (10%)	2.63 (0.73)

Table 16: Views of S1 pupils in 2006 on benefits of cooperative learning (n= 383)

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	m (sd)
c. Cooperative learning helps me to work with others	4 (1%)	19 (5%)	191 (50%)	166 (43%)	3.37 (0.63)
e. Cooperative learning encourages me to help others	8 (2%)	34 (9%)	242 (63%)	96 (25%)	3.12 (0.64)
m. Cooperative learning helps with hard work	10 (3%)	50 (13%)	201 (53%)	116 (30%)	3.12 (0.73)
n. I would like to do more cooperative learning	18 (5%)	44 (11%)	198 (52%)	116 (30%)	3.10 (0.78)
a. I really look forward to cooperative learning	8 (2%)	34 (9%)	283 (74%)	53 (14%)	3.01 (0.56)
b. I feel that I can do better at school when we do cooperative learning	12 (3%)	67 (18%)	220 (57%)	78 (20%)	2.97 (0.72)
j. I am better at planning answers when we do cooperative learning	12 (3%)	85 (22%)	218 (57%)	63 (16%)	2.88 (0.71)
h. Cooperative learning teaches me how to be nice to everyone	24 (6%)	81 (21%)	219 (57%)	55 (14%)	2.80 (0.76)

In 2006 more pupils completed the questionnaires – the full year group was targeted, as opposed to a sample. In 2004 the sample was selected to include classes that had experienced cooperative learning since moving to secondary school, and many had also experienced it in their primary schools. The 2006 data set included those who had indicated they had experienced cooperative learning either in primary or secondary school, with the majority having done it in both. Due to the difference in sample size there is need for caution in interpreting the data. However, in broad terms, it appears that the later cohort is more positive about cooperative learning, particularly in relation to looking forward to doing it.

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's Cooperative Learning Project

Good practice case studies

To illustrate how cooperative learning can influence school life in different ways, some examples of practice were selected for closer study. The schools were selected on the recommendation of local authority officers. The foci of the studies were: leadership and the use of cooperative learning to introduce change, student engagement in school councils and the use of cooperative learning with pupils with special educational needs. The management and staff of the schools involved in the studies have all read and approved the case studies.

1. Cooperative Learning and the role of leadership – New Monkland Primary School, Airdrie

Throughout the evaluation, the commitment of Senior Management Teams at school level has been identified as one of the most crucial conditions for cooperative learning to be implemented effectively. The implementation process of embedding cooperative learning principles throughout the school may not always be straightforward, however, and a long and at times difficult process of change needs to be embarked upon. In order for change to be successful, it requires 'a long-term process of action, refinement and support to clarify and to integrate innovation use' (Stiegelbauer, 1994).

Stiegelbauer (1994) identifies 3 phases as part of this change process which she believes can take up to 5 years before one can speak of a stable innovation. The first stage of initiation involves planning and starting the work, and in the implementation stage the innovation is put into action. The institutionalisation or continuation phase is concerned with continuing to put the innovation into place and with embedding it into the daily life of the school.

Anne MacDonald, Head Teacher at New Monkland Primary in Airdrie, decided to start implementing cooperative learning in order to address the action points identified in an HMI inspection in October 2005. The inspectors advised that there were pockets of good teaching, but that this was not consistent throughout the school. Formal classroom monitoring needed to be increased and made more rigorous and systematic. Another issue that was identified was the need to develop children's engagement and promote active participation in their learning.

After attending the cooperative learning academy, Anne felt confident that the introduction of cooperative learning throughout the school would help to achieve continuity of teaching methods across the school and would be a way to provide a wider variety of learning and teaching methods to stimulate and challenge all pupils.

She received useful support from the Local Authority Development Officers and began by running the school inset days cooperatively, which introduced cooperative learning to the staff in a 'learning by doing' approach.

Initially there were mixed responses from staff, with most being reluctant to attend training. As Anne was relatively new to the school and still building up relationships and trust, she decided to reward staff who were willing to attend training by cutting down on the formal monitoring they had been undergoing since HMI's visit. By providing ample opportunities for training and ongoing support, eventually more and more teachers decided to attend the academy and take cooperative learning forward throughout the school.

Anne feels that it is of paramount importance that teachers have support from each other in their learning process. Teachers learn from professional dialogue and sharing good practice with each other in particular and she therefore decided to introduce a peer network to ensure support and encouragement. This 'supporter' system means that newly trained teachers are linked with a colleague who has previously been trained to ensure ongoing support and reflection. The support programme consists of the two teachers planning and teaching a cooperative learning lesson, after which the lesson is evaluated and next steps are discussed.

After the newly trained teacher has implemented cooperative learning lessons, has engaged in initial professional dialogue with their supporter and as a result feels more confident about delivering lessons cooperatively, they become a supporter themselves and the cycle is repeated.

Involving teachers actively from the outset, as Anne has done through the peer support process, helps to strengthen their commitment, and the majority of staff are now very enthusiastic about cooperative learning. True commitment does not normally occur until desirable outcomes of the innovation are observed (Stiegelbauer, 1994) and staff spoken to as part of the evaluation study have noticed a number of benefits for the children. Working with other children they may not have chosen to work with before has meant that their confidence has grown and some who were too shy to speak up before are now contributing more freely to discussions. In general, the children's social skills are more specifically targeted throughout the lessons and the children are more engaged and motivated to learn.

Apart from the perceived impact it has had on pupils, staff report that the increase in professional dialogue has been a very positive outcome of the implementation process of cooperative learning and particularly the peer support process. It has contributed to stronger communication and relationships within the school and, in particular, staff meetings and inset days, some of which are now run in a cooperative manner, have become more positive events in which all staff participate, interact and are fully engaged.

Throughout the school there is now more consistency in teaching approaches and children are more actively engaged in their own learning process – precisely those areas for improvement highlighted in the HMI inspection report. The school is so content with the progress it has made in the area of cooperative learning that a bid has been submitted as part of the Spotlight on Success Awards 2007.

As part of the institutionalisation phase, next steps for the school are the continuation of roll out throughout all classes and most curriculum areas, ongoing support and the building up of teacher confidence.

In line with other findings throughout this report, staff at New Monkland Primary School also stress that different teaching approaches need to be used and that a balance between individualistic, competitive and cooperative styles is essential. However, they do agree that learning to use cooperative learning has meant another tool has been added to their toolkit.

Reference

Stiegelbauer SM (1994) Change has changed: Implications for implementation of assessments from the organisational change literature. In RJ Anson (Ed) *Systemic Reform – Perspectives on Personalising Education*

2. Cooperative Learning and student involvement in school and pupil council elections – Our Lady's High School, Cumbernauld

At Our Lady's High School in Cumbernauld, all the Senior Management Team and Principal Teachers have been trained in cooperative learning. A high number of departmental teaching staff have also been trained and the school's long-term aim is to train all colleagues.

In line with what has been argued throughout this report, commitment from the SMT is indicated as a crucial factor for effective implementation and roll-out of cooperative learning. Effective planning, availability of appropriate resources and peer support are also deemed to be important criteria for the success of cooperative learning activities.

In addition to lessons being delivered in cooperative learning style, a number of staff meetings and a recent in-service day have also been run cooperatively. Ellen Burton, Depute Head Teacher, has also extended the use of the approach to the school council elections, where pupils are split up in cooperative learning groups to go through the process of selecting pupil representatives.

School councils are democratically elected groups of students who represent their peers and enable pupils to become partners in their own education (<http://www.schoolcouncils.org/>). It is generally widely accepted that by giving pupils a sense of ownership and including them in the decision-making process, the whole-school ethos improves. Effective school councils therefore make a positive contribution to the school community by giving pupils a voice and there is evidence that school councils, as part of a wider set of policies and approaches, work to promote inclusion, encourage respect and further develop social interaction skills (Davies, 1999). Other benefits reported are the development of life skills and improvement of behaviour and attainment (<http://www.citizenship-pieces.org.uk/schoolcouncils>).

The outcomes described clearly reflect North Lanarkshire Cooperative Learning Initiative's aims of developing citizenship, whole-school ethos and promoting pupils' engagement in their own learning. In addition, they go hand in hand with A Curriculum for Excellence's main objective of promoting children to become 'confident individuals, successful learners, responsible citizens and effective contributors' (Scottish Executive, 2004).

To facilitate the roll-out of cooperative learning approaches in the council elections, the school received support from the Local Authority's Development Officers. Cooperative learning enables the use of a variety of activities at whole-school level which Ellen feels are a crucial factor in keeping pupils engaged throughout different election sessions. In structuring the sessions, cooperative learning principles were embedded to maximise the election's potential. In line with the cooperative learning principle of positive interdependence, pupils were assigned different roles (e.g. chairperson, timekeeper, reporter and resource manager) and all were involved in decision-making by being asked to reflect on what school aspects they would like to develop. In addition, each cooperative learning group was to consider their ideal pupil council representation on basis of gender, house and year group composition. Finally, cooperative learning activities were used by the students to discuss key qualities and personal characteristics of a pupil council representative.

The school feels it is important to respect the pupils' wishes with regard to council structure and representation, even if it means a change from how councils were run previously or if it differs from staff views: eg proportional representation from the different houses was not seen as important by pupils – a view which differed from that of teachers.

After review and evaluating students' feedback with regard to using cooperative learning for council elections, Ellen feels that both outcome and process of this approach are highly successful. More appropriate pupils are elected to become council representatives because the cooperative election exercise is clearly structured and requires pupils to actively reflect on candidates' key qualities and characteristics. In addition, the cooperative learning process provides the opportunity for all pupils to have a voice, to contribute and to be actively involved in decision-making at a whole-school level. This process of consultation engages the pupils, who feel that their opinion is valued, and therefore contributes to the school's positive ethos.

References

School Councils - www.schoolcouncils.org www.citizenship-pieces.org.uk/schoolcouncils

Davies L (1999) *School councils and pupil exclusions: research project report*. London: School Councils UK

Scottish Executive (2004) *A Curriculum for Excellence: The Curriculum Review group*. Edinburgh: Scottish Executive

3. Cooperative Learning in SEBD Schools – Pentland Primary and Fallside High School

Research literature indicates that using multiple teaching approaches is more effective than using a single classroom strategy when teaching pupils with special needs. It has also been demonstrated that cooperative learning can have positive effects on attainment and social skill development for pupils with social, emotional and behavioural difficulties (Davis *et al*, 2004; Fish, 2006; Nind *et al*, 2005; Riddell *et al*, 2006). In addition, cooperative learning can also be effective in promoting these children's self-esteem and their attitude to learning (Nind *et al*, 2005).

Despite these reported benefits, Fish (2005) states that a high number of special schools do not implement cooperative learning techniques as many educators mistakenly believe that it lowers academic standards. This is not the case in Pentland Primary and Fallside High School – two non-denominational, co-educational schools which have a specialist provision for pupils with social, emotional and behavioural difficulties and whose teachers are enthusiastically using cooperative learning throughout the school.

Fallside High took up cooperative learning after an HMI inspection in which it was identified that pupils were not working enough together. Since Morna McGriesh, Acting Principal Teacher, attended the cooperative learning academy in 2004, most teaching staff have attended the course and training is ongoing.

At Pentland Primary, two classroom teachers returned to the school after their training in 2004 and deployed cooperative learning in a variety of curriculum areas. An inset day for all staff was run cooperatively and sample lessons to colleagues were provided, but in line with the Authority's commitment, it was felt that all staff needed to attend the academy and learn about cooperative learning first-hand rather than relying on dissemination. Training is ongoing and all teaching staff should be trained by the end of the year.

To date, cooperative learning strategies and elements within individual classrooms have been maintained, but staffing issues have meant that the whole-school approach has not been taken forward as far as intended in both schools.

Due to the small class sizes in both schools (an average class has 6 pupils), Pentland has implemented whole-school cooperative learning in which all pupils (approx. 30) across the different age ranges are brought together and split up in cooperative learning groups. This approach facilitates group formation and enables the use of base groups, but the disadvantage of working this way is that it is not always possible to take cooperative learning forward in individual classrooms.

In Fallside High the whole-school approach has been considered, but practical limitations have prevented this from being implemented. Initially, opportunities for cooperative learning were introduced across different curriculum areas, but due to staffing issues the focus is currently solely on the social skills element.

A positive ethos within SEBD schools is paramount. Iain Porteous, Head Teacher at Pentland, likes to refer to the school as a family. If everybody feels happy and secure then pupils will start feeling responsible for themselves and each other and learning will follow (TESS, October 2006). One of the techniques Pentland employs to maintain the school's happy and secure family ethos is cooperative learning.

Lorraine Haughey, classroom teacher at Pentland and currently seconded as Development Officer for North Lanarkshire Council, and her colleague, Kay McFarlane, refer to the importance of their pupils' social skill development and talk about how the school's circle time, in which cooperative learning activities are used, promotes this. Development Officers from the Council have supported staff to use cooperative learning in order to develop restorative practice in which disruptive pupils take responsibility for their actions, understand the consequences of their behaviour and apologise to others, which improves relationships and the ethos within the school.

Lorraine, Kay and Morna feel that cooperative learning promotes social and helpful behaviour, as children working together find commonalities and form friendships which encourage mutual understanding. The fact that social goals are an explicit part of the cooperative learning lesson is seen

as fruitful in improving pupils' social skills. It has been shown that direct teaching of social skills can help develop positive socially accepted patterns of behaviour and contribute to the development of positive relationships, while at the same time increasing opportunities for academic success (Chen, 2006).

The teachers point out that cooperative learning provides a structure within which they can plan their lessons and make learning goals, both social and academic, explicit to the children. It also facilitates reflection, evaluation and group processing activities for both teacher and pupils and fits well with initiatives such as Assessment is for Learning. Group processing and peer assessment is felt to be very effective and pupils encourage each other more – “You are brilliant at your times tables” (TESS, October 2006) is a type of compliment not often heard before cooperative learning was introduced.

The clear and tight lesson structure, including introduction and evaluation of learning objectives, also ensures there is little time and opportunity for pupils to misbehave, which has reduced behaviour problems throughout both schools. This is in line with Swanson (2004 in Riddell *et al*, 2006), who states that common general principles for teaching pupils with disorders include explicit strategy instruction and evaluation of the effectiveness of a strategy.

Pupils with social, emotional and behavioural disorders often have a strong disaffection with traditional school work and text books, whereas cooperative learning is fun, enjoyable and not perceived to be work. Where a certain unit of learning needs to be repeated, cooperative learning is felt to provide a vehicle for offering tasks in a number of different ways and preventing the pupils from feeling they are having to go over the same work again and again.

The children are made to feel accountable, both at group level and individually, and are more motivated and engaged. They feel positive about themselves by being part of a group and working together successfully and their self-esteem has increased noticeably.

The planning and preparation stage of a cooperative learning lesson involves more work for teachers, but during the lesson their role is more facilitative and provides opportunities to observe and assess the children. In addition, cooperative learning makes it possible to offer a differentiated lesson without giving the children different and separate work.

Next steps in both schools include embedding cooperative learning practice throughout the school and taking its use forward. A need for additional peer support and sharing of good practice has been identified – at times working in a SEBD school can cause a feeling of isolation, and staff have expressed a wish for more meetings in which good practice is shared and issues are discussed.

The teachers feel it would be beneficial to reward schools that implement cooperative learning; eg by giving them special status, as for eco or charter mark schools. This incentive would put cooperative learning more firmly on a school's agenda and heighten senior management's involvement and commitment.

Special needs educators need more support to develop the skills and self-confidence to use cooperative learning with their pupils (Fish, 2006). Training, support, resources and long-term commitment from SMT and authorities have all been identified as necessary criteria for effective implementation of cooperative learning throughout this report and these factors may be even more crucial for special schools.

References

- Chen K (2006) Social Skills Intervention for Students with Emotional / Behavioural Disorders: A Literature Review from the American Perspective. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 1 (3) 143-149
- Davis P, Florian L *et al* (2004) *Teaching Strategies and Approaches for Pupils with Special Educational Needs: A scoping study*. DfES: Research Report 516
- Fish WW (2006) Cooperative Learning: why the reluctance? *Academic Exchange Quarterly*, 22 September 2006

Hepburn H (2006) 'This is not a bad boys' school'. *Times Educational Supplement* (October 27 2006)

Nind M and Wearmouth J (2005) *A systematic review of pedagogical approaches that can effectively include children with special educational needs in mainstream classrooms with a particular focus on peer group interactive approaches*. London: EPPI-Centre Research Evidence in Education Library.

Riddell S, Tisdall K, Kane J and Mulderrig J (2006) *Literature Review of Educational Provision for Pupils with Additional Support Needs*. Retrieved from <http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2006/10/19104601/0>

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's Cooperative Learning Project

Literature

Notes on research on effective implementation of cooperative learning (Referred to in chapters 2 and 5)

Proponents of cooperative learning emphasise that success is not guaranteed. Weak implementation will lead to weak outcomes. In that respect further findings from research are worth noting.

Gillies (2004) reports on an experimental study into maths learning involving 223 junior high school students in Brisbane who worked in structured and unstructured cooperative groups. 'Structured' in this context was similar to the inclusion of the 5 elements outlined above, ie where the pupils had been taught interpersonal and small group skills; the task required the participation of all to complete and the pupils understood that; and they had skills of discussion, challenging, conflict resolution and democratic decision-making (p198). A key factor in the study was the commitment of schools to promote cooperative learning. While there was state education department policy which promoted cooperative learning, school engagement varied. Some schools had participated in extensive professional development and had included cooperative learning in their policy documents as a practice to promote effective teaching and learning. Students in these schools participated in structured cooperative learning groups at least once a week. Where this level of commitment was shown, teachers were more likely to use structured groups. In schools without this commitment, approaches were more likely to be *ad hoc* (p202). The main findings identified between pupils working in structured and unstructured groups were:

- children in unstructured groups displayed more non-cooperative behaviours and more individual non-task behaviours than their peers in structured groups (p207)
- children in structured groups gave more unsolicited explanations and solicited explanations than their peers; such responses provide elaboration on issues and are more likely to facilitate understanding and learning (p207)
- children in structured groups attained a higher learning outcome score than their peers (p208).

These findings emphasise the importance of implementing all aspects of the cooperative learning model and of schools' commitment to ensuring that teachers have adequate professional development and support to implement it. Implementation in an *ad hoc* fashion will be less successful.

Three further research reports emphasise the importance of the role of the teacher and the quality of the teachers' interactions with the learners in cooperative learning situations. Hijzen *et al* (2006) undertook a study with 1920 students in secondary vocational schools. They posited the view that students' participation in cooperative learning would be influenced by their values towards learning and that it was important that they had positive attitudes towards cooperative learning. If this were not the case they might opt to work alone and, potentially, display disruptive behaviours. This study identified four factors which influenced students' perceptions of quality cooperative learning:

- the extent to which they were taught social skills in their present schools
- their perception of the teachers' clarity on rules for cooperative learning (explanations of the concepts and strategies for cooperative learning and criteria for success)
- the monitoring behaviour of the teacher (explanations, interventions, evaluations)
- the perception of the availability of academic and social support from both teachers and peers (p14).

Veenman *et al* (2000), in a study of cooperative learning in Dutch primary schools, indicated that teachers reported problems in monitoring groups as they worked, which in their explanation included both the rules for cooperative learning and providing clarification and explanations in relation to the task (p293). In their observation of pupils, the researchers noted that they displayed fairly low levels

of cooperative skills such as division of work, listening to each other, creating a positive climate and shared decision making. It was noted that they did not elaborate their solutions or answers and so help others in the group understand their reasoning, even when another member did not understand; neither did they ask for clarification (p295). The teachers' reviewing of cooperative learning principles and skills before pupils start on a task and their effective monitoring and intervention during group working are seen as essential to optimising the experience for pupils. This study found many positive aspects in the use of cooperative learning and the researchers were not suggesting that there were no benefits; they were highlighting that teachers found certain aspects more difficult and needed more support to develop these.

Gillies and Boyle (2005) emphasise the importance of teachers modelling or scaffolding communication and thinking skills for pupils in ways which challenge understandings and enable a clearer focus on the problems to be solved or task to be completed. This is supported in other literature; for example, a fundamental assumption is that talk is more than a means for sharing thoughts: it is a tool for the joint construction of knowledge by teachers and learners (Mercer, 1996).

Barnes and Todd (1977) emphasised the importance of learners exploring ideas about what is relevant and having a joint conception of what they are trying to achieve. The point of cooperating through talk is to enable learners to reconstruct and elaborate their previous ideas through peer dialogue (Bereiter, 2002). In the best applications of cooperative learning, the purpose of talk is to stimulate students to ascertain and resolve, *for themselves*, what is confusing or problematic (Brophy, 2002).

For the Gillies and Boyle (2005) study, a group of 30 teachers were trained in cooperative learning methods; half the group were given further training in communication skills designed to challenge children's thinking and promote meaningful engagement with tasks. The findings were that, unsurprisingly, those who received the additional training made more effective use of questioning skills. The pupils then used more effective questioning themselves when working without the teacher.

These three studies emphasise the importance of the quality of the teachers' monitoring and intervention while pupils are engaged in cooperative learning activities and particularly the quality of the dialogue that takes place between teacher and pupils and pupils and pupils; they suggest that this is one aspect of cooperative learning which might be more difficult to implement and that a particular focus on this in both training and ongoing support can be beneficial. In this context, Gillies and Boyle (2005) explored the benefits of additional training in questioning and communication skills and Gillies (2004) and Veenman *et al* (2000) highlighted the benefits of ongoing support. Veenman *et al*, referring to Joyce and Showers (1995), emphasised, in particular, the benefit of peer support groups and peer coaching to discuss and solve implementation problems and recommended that such processes be built into school professional development programmes.

References:

- Barnes D and Todd F (1977) *Communication and Learning in Small Groups*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul
- Bereiter C (2002) *Education and Mind in the Knowledge Age*. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
- Brophy J (2002) *Social Constructivist Teaching: Affordances and Constraints*. Oxford: Elsevier Science Ltd
- Gillies R M and Boyle M (2005) Teachers' scaffolding behaviours during cooperative learning. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education* 33 (3) 243-259 (summary accessed at www.standards.dfes.gov.uk/research)
- Gillies R M (2004) The effects of cooperative learning on junior high school students during small group learning. *Learning and Instruction* 14, 97-213
- Mercer N (1996) The quality of talk in children's collaborative activity in the classroom. *Learning and Instruction*, 6, 359-377
- Veenman S, Kenter B and Post K (2000) Cooperative Learning in Dutch Primary Classrooms. *Educational Studies* 26 (3) 21-320.

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's Cooperative Learning Project

Research Instruments

Topics for interview(s) with local authority staff

(Note: the schedule was customised according to the role and remit of the person being interviewed.)

LA co-ordinators' background and role

1. Please tell me something about your role(s) in the authority, particularly with regard to cooperative learning.
2. What training/study have you undertaken to support you in this role?

Reasons for introducing cooperative learning

3. Why did North Lanarkshire decide to introduce cooperative learning into all schools? (*explore issue of raising attainment in detail later under aims and meeting the aims*). How did the partnership with Durham County, in particular come about?

Approaches to introducing cooperative learning

4. Please outline the authority's strategy for introducing cooperative learning: eg
 - timescale
 - invitation to schools to participate
 - 'rules' about participation
 - involvement of Canadian Trainers
 - plans for own staff to be trainers
 - inclusion in local authority improvement plan and school development plans
 - other main points

Training and support

5. What training and support has been offered to teachers?
6. What support has there been in addition to the academies and recall days?
7. What resources have been made available to teachers? (*eg how to use in maths, links to Johnson and Johnson website, examples of strategies in action? Teachers' comments after training and also what would help them improve their use of co-operative learning suggested that they wanted subject and/or age and stage specific examples.*)
8. What opportunities are there for teachers to form support groups within and between schools?
9. Cooperative learning is of course not just about what happens in the classroom but a way of working.
 - In support of this, have HT/DHTs been trained in ways of using co-operative learning with staff in the school? (See question 13)

10. To what extent have co-operative learning principles been embedded in other CPD provision eg AifL, or health-promoting schools, enterprise in education? Do the related authority staff work together? (See Durham County website where their resources are listed. Co-operative learning lesson plans included in resources to all sorts of topics.)

Meeting the aims of the project

If we turn to the aims of the project, I'm interested in your view on the following points.

11. The first aim, and one of the main reasons for introducing cooperative learning, is to **secure higher attainment** in overall terms in line with the authority's strategy 'Raising Achievement for All'.
- To what extent do you think the project is achieving this and what evidence do you have?
 - What do you see as the main issues which prevent higher levels of attainment in school pupils?
 - How does cooperative learning address these?
 - What other initiatives are in place to address raising attainment?
 - How do these initiatives complement each other (and in particular co-operative learning)?
12. The second aim is to address all national priorities by building social skills, developing citizenship and encouraging young people to be actively involved in their own learning.
- To what extent do you think the project is achieving this and what evidence do you have?
13. The third aim is to develop and promote the school as a 'learning community' with a positive and inclusive ethos.
- To what extent do you think the project is achieving this and what evidence do you have? (Relates to question 9)
 - To what extent are you aware of cooperative learning principles being used in the management of schools? (eg staff meetings, pupil councils, pupil behaviour strategies etc)
14. The fourth aim is to increase teacher efficacy by adding to their toolkit of skills.
- To what extent do you think the project is achieving this and what evidence do you have?
 - Johnson and Johnson in particular refer to 'direct cooperative learning methods' ie activities that are quickly learned and can be easily introduced (eg hand signals; think, pair, share; recognition and praise activities etc), and developing 'conceptual frameworks' ie ways of thinking which lead to restructuring lessons and activities and embed the principles of cooperative learning. To what extent do you think teachers are developing conceptual frameworks which are transforming practice?
15. The fifth aim is to increase pupil and teacher motivation.
- To what extent do you think the project is achieving this and what evidence do you have?
16. How has the authority been monitoring the impact of the project in working towards these aims?

Next steps

17. What are the authority's plans for further development and support of cooperative learning?
18. What do you think are the best local authority and school conditions for introducing cooperative learning on such a wide scale?

Anything else?

19. Is there anything you would like to add about the project that we have not covered?

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's Co-operative Learning Project

Semi-structured interview schedule for headteachers/senior management with responsibility for CL

Introduction

Thank you for your time. In this interview we would like to cover some background information, issues about implementing cooperative learning in the school and the extent to which you think the aims of the NL CL initiative are being met. We need to ask each participant in the evaluation study to provide us with formal consent to being involved and to agree to the interview being audio-taped - if you agree to be involved, please sign one of the consent sheets and keep the other for your own records.

Background

1. Can you update us on the developments in the area of CL that have happened in the school over the last few years?
 - 1.1 Number of teachers who have completed Academies, Recall Days, Training for Trainers? Change in staffing through retiral, turnover etc.
 - 1.2 Have there been in-school staff development opportunities? If yes, what? How many staff involved? Any staff other than teachers involved (eg classroom assistants, library, admin) (*Probe here on cost implications of the training - cascading model?*)
 - 1.3 For primary schools: do all teachers use cooperative learning with their classes? Is the extent to which it is used monitored in any way?
 - 1.4 For secondary schools: are there any departments that make more use of CL than others? Is it used in all years? Is the extent to which it is used monitored in any way?
 - 1.5 For both: do you have probationers in the school? How many? Have they been involved in any LA training events for cooperative learning? Have they been encouraged to develop cooperative learning methods?

Implementing cooperative learning

2. How important a priority is cooperative learning in the school's development plan? What other issues are key areas for development in the school? How does cooperative learning fit in with these areas?
3. How effective has the local authority support for cooperative learning been? What has been particularly effective? What more could be done?
4. What school support has there been for the staff? (eg in-service, working together, resources – books, online)
5. What have been the greatest challenges in introducing cooperative learning?
6. How has the school been monitoring the impact of cooperative learning?
7. What do you think are the best local authority and school conditions for introducing cooperative learning on such a wide scale?

Meeting the aims of the project

If we turn to the aims of the project, I'm interested in your view on the following points.

8. The first aim, and one of the main reasons for introducing cooperative learning, is to **secure higher attainment** in overall terms in line with the authority's strategy 'Raising Achievement for All'.
 - What do you see as the main issues which prevent higher levels of attainment in school pupils?
 - How does cooperative learning address these?
 - What other initiatives are in place in the school to address raising attainment?
 - How do these initiatives complement each other (and in particular co-operative learning)?
 - To what extent do you think cooperative learning is contributing to raising attainment of pupils in this school? What evidence do you have?
(At this point refer to the data we have from the local authority on the national priority performance indicators and ask if they agree with them. Do they think any changes are attributable to CL?)
9. The second aim is to address all national priorities by building social skills, developing citizenship and encouraging young people to be actively involved in their own learning.
 - To what extent do you think the project is achieving this and what evidence do you have?
10. The third aim is to develop and promote the school as a 'learning community' with a positive and inclusive ethos.
 - To what extent are cooperative learning principles being used [secondary teachers: in your departments and] in the school generally? (eg staff meetings, pupil councils, pupil behaviour strategies, parents etc). Do you think they are creating a positive and inclusive ethos? In what ways?
11. The fourth aim is to increase teacher efficacy by adding to their toolkit of skills.
 - To what extent do you think the project is achieving this and what evidence do you have?
 - Johnson and Johnson in particular refer to 'direct cooperative learning methods' ie activities that are quickly learned and can be easily introduced (eg think, pair share, round robin brainstorming, jigsaw etc) and developing 'conceptual frameworks' ie ways of thinking which lead to restructuring lessons and activities and embed the principles of cooperative learning. To what extent do you think teachers are developing conceptual frameworks which are transforming practice?
12. The fifth aim is to increase pupil and teacher motivation.
 - To what extent do you think cooperative learning has increased the motivation of your pupils? What evidence do you have? (eg attendance, behaviour, interest in learning)
(Refer to attendance figures for school received from LA).
 - Do you think it has made a difference to teachers? If so, what?

Anything else?

13. Is there anything you would like to add about the project that we have not covered?

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's Co-operative Learning Project

Semi-structured interview and/or focus group schedule for teachers

Introduction

Thank you for agreeing to talk to me. In this interview/focus group I would like to talk about 3 main areas: firstly, a bit about yourself and the training and support you have had for cooperative learning; secondly, implementing cooperative learning in the classroom, and thirdly, the extent to which you think the aims of the NL CL initiative are being met.

Professional background and development in relation to cooperative learning

1. Please tell me about yourself as a teacher, for example, how long you have been teaching, how long you have been in this school, any roles you have in the school?
2. What training have you undertaken in relation to cooperative learning? *(Most will have done the 3-day Academy but some may not – we need then to probe on in-house training for them.)* What further support have you had from the authority (eg resources, online support)?
3. What support has there been in the school for introducing and ongoing development of cooperative learning? *(in-service; working with other teachers; resources)*
4. Is there anything else that you think would give you more support?

Implementing cooperative learning

We are interested in the ways in which cooperative learning has been introduced, the processes involved, and the challenges in doing this and how they can be overcome.

5. *Introducing the children to cooperative learning:*

- 5.1 How do you define cooperative learning for the pupils?
- 5.2 When you introduce(d) cooperative learning, what kind of preparatory work do/did you do eg to develop pupils social and cooperative skills? What are the challenges in doing this?

6. *Different types of cooperative learning groups:*

The materials from the training manual present different kinds of cooperative learning groups, namely, informal CL groups, formal CL groups and base groups. We are interested in knowing how much each type is used, and the benefits and challenges of using them.

- 6.1 *Informal groups: (temporary, ad hoc groups, last for only one discussion or class period; focus student attention, create expectations, set mood conducive to learning, ensure cognitive processing of material and provide closure to instructional session.)*
 - (Prompt on how often they do this, ask for examples of the kinds of things they do, the benefits, and challenges.)
- 6.2 *Formal groups: (pupils work together for one or several class sessions, shared learning goals to complete specific tasks and assignments. Structured through pre-instructional decisions, setting task and cooperative structure, monitoring groups while they work and intervene to improve taskwork and teamwork and evaluating student learning and processing group functioning)*
 - (Again prompt on how often, what, the benefits and challenges)

- 6.3 Base groups: (*long-term – term to a year; stable membership; give each member support, encouragement, assistance for academic progress, cognitive development and social development.*)
- (Again prompt on how often, what, the benefits and challenges)
7. To what extent have the pupils internalised the processes? For example, do they ever work collaboratively without being prompted? Do they suggest working collaboratively?
8. Is there anything else that you have learned through cooperative learning which you have introduced into the classroom? If yes, what?
9. Are there aspects of the curriculum which are not suitable for teaching by using cooperative learning? Why are they not suitable? Are there other ways you prefer to teach at times? Balance of individualistic and competitive approaches?

Meeting the aims of the project

If we turn to the aims of the project, I'm interested in your view on the following points.

10. The first aim, and one of the main reasons for introducing cooperative learning, is to **secure higher attainment** in line with the authority's strategy 'Raising Achievement for All'.
- To what extent do you think cooperative learning is contributing to raising the attainment of your pupils? what evidence do you have?
 - What other initiatives are in place in the school to address raising attainment?
 - How do these initiatives complement each other (and in particular co-operative learning)?
11. The second aim is to address all national priorities by building social skills, developing citizenship and encouraging young people to be actively involved in their own learning.
- To what extent do you think the project is achieving this and what evidence do you have?
12. The third aim is to develop and promote the school as a 'learning community' with a positive and inclusive ethos.
- To what extent are cooperative learning principles being used [secondary teachers: in your departments and] in the school generally? (eg staff meetings, pupil councils, pupil behaviour strategies, parents etc). Do you think they are creating a positive and inclusive ethos? In what ways?
13. The fourth aim is to increase teacher efficacy by adding to their toolkit of skills.
- To what extent do you think the project has added to your skills?
 - To what extent do you think it has changed the way you teach and manage your class?
14. The fifth aim is to increase pupil and teacher motivation.
- To what extent do you think cooperative learning has increased the motivation of your pupils? What evidence do you have? (eg attendance, behaviour, interest in learning)
 - Do you think it has made a difference to teachers?

Anything else

15. Is there anything else you would like to add about cooperative learning which we have not covered?

Cooperative Learning

Schedule for Pupil Focus Groups (P3, P4)

School:

Date: Interviewer:

Introduction:

- Thank you for coming to talk to me today
- Introduce yourself, say you are from Strathclyde University
- We have been asked to find out about what you think about cooperative learning. Check they understand – ask how they would define it. Space below to record what they say.
- This interview will last about 20 minutes
- This interview will be completely confidential. Your teacher, friends or parents will not be told anything that you say, so feel free to say what you think.
- Remember, this is not a test and there are no right or wrong answers. We are interested in your views. If there are any questions you don't understand you can ask me to explain them.
- Set some ground rules for discussion: should follow pattern they use for CL!! Eg take turns, don't all talk together etc.
- Any questions before we start?

Definitions given by pupils:

1. Just to start, I'd like to know your names.

Name

2. I enjoyed watching your class today and I have my ideas about what you were doing, but I'd like to hear your views on it.

2.1 Firstly, what was the task you had to do?

Or if lesson not based on formal task but series of informal interactions:

What were the tasks that you had to work on together?

2.2 What different roles did you have?

How did you decide who was going to do the different roles?

Why is it good to have different roles?

2.3 How well do you think you worked together?

Thinking back, is there anything you would like to have done differently?

2.4 How difficult did you find it to do?

2.5 What did you like most about it?

2.6 Is there anything you didn't enjoy? Yes No
Note how many

If you said yes, what didn't you enjoy? Why?

2.7 What did you learn from today's lesson?

2.8 How did working cooperatively help you learn this?

3. I'd like now to talk about cooperative learning more generally.

3.1 What do you need to be good at to work cooperatively?

3.2 Has the teacher helped you to learn to do these things? Yes No
Note how many

If yes, how has he/she done this?

3.3 Have you helped each other do these things better? Yes No
Note how many

If yes, how have you done this?

4. Can we talk now about what the teacher does when you are using cooperative learning?

4.1 What does the teacher do when you are working cooperatively?

4.2 What kinds of things does he/she do to make sure you are getting on OK?

Thank the pupils very much for talking to you and tell them how helpful their views have been.

Cooperative Learning

Schedule for Pupil Focus Groups (P6, P7, S1)

School:

Date: Interviewer:

Introduction:

- Thank you for coming to talk to me today
- Introduce yourself, say you are from Strathclyde University
- We have been asked to find out about what you think about cooperative learning. Check they understand – ask how they would define it. Space below to record what they say.
- This interview will last about 30 minutes
- This interview will be completely confidential. Your teacher, friends or parents will not be told anything that you say, so feel free to say what you think.
- Remember, this is not a test and there are no right or wrong answers. We are interested in your views. If there are any questions you don't understand you can ask me to explain them.
- Set some ground rules for discussion: should follow pattern they use for CL!! Eg take turns, don't all talk together etc.
- Any questions before we start?

Definitions given by pupils:

1. Just to start, please could you tell me your names?

Name

2. I enjoyed watching your class today and I have my ideas about what you were doing, but I'd like to hear your views on it.

2.1 Firstly, what was the task you had to do?

Or if lesson not based on formal task but series of informal interactions:

What were the tasks that you had to work on together?

2.2 What different roles did you have?

How did you decide who was going to do the different roles?

Why is it good to have different roles?

2.3 How well do you think you worked together?

Thinking back, is there anything you would like to have done differently?

2.4 How difficult did you find it to do?

2.5 What did you like most about it?

2.6 Is there anything you didn't enjoy? Yes No
Note how many

If you said yes, what didn't you enjoy? Why?

2.7 What did you learn from today's lesson?

2.8 How did working cooperatively help you learn this?

5. Can we talk now about what the teacher does when you are using cooperative learning?
- 5.1 What does the teacher do when you are working cooperatively?
- 5.2 What kinds of things does he/she do to make sure you are getting on OK?

6. **For S1 pupils**

You have recently moved from primary school to the high school.

- 6.1 Did you work cooperatively in primary school? Yes No
Note how many
(We should know this from answer to 3.1 – but double check)
- 6.2 Did anything you learned through cooperative learning make it easier for you to move to high school? Yes No
Note how many
- 6.3 If yes, what helped you?

Thank the pupils very much for talking to you and tell them how helpful their views have been.

Cooperative Learning

Schedule for Pupil Focus Groups (S3, S5, S6)

School:

Date: Interviewer:

Introduction:

- Thank you for coming to talk to me today
- Introduce yourself, say you are from Strathclyde University
- We have been asked to find out about what you think about cooperative learning. Check they understand – ask how they would define it. Space below to record what they say.
- This interview will last about 30/40 minutes
- This interview will be completely confidential. Your teacher, friends or parents will not be told anything that you say, so feel free to say what you think.
- Remember, this is not a test and there are no right or wrong answers. We are interested in your views. If there are any questions you don't understand you can ask me to explain them.
- Set some ground rules for discussion
- Any questions before we start?

Definitions given by pupils:

1. Just to start, please could you tell me your names?

Name

2. About today's lesson.

2.1 What did you learn from today's lesson?

2.2 How did working cooperatively help you learn this?

3. I'd now like to talk about cooperative learning in general.

3.1 How long have you been using cooperative learning in school?

3.2 Tell me about some other things you have done (different from today).

3.3 What do you need to be good at to work cooperatively?

3.4 Has the teacher helped you to learn to do these things? Yes No
Note how many

If yes, how has he/she done this?

3.5 Have you helped each other do these things better? Yes No
Note how many

If yes, how have you done this?

3.6 Do you use these skills outside the classroom? Yes No
Note how many

If yes, where?

3.7 Why are they important?

4. I'd like you to think about how cooperative learning helps you learn.

4.1 Do you think you learn better when you work cooperatively? Yes No
Note how many

If yes, how does it help you learn?

(Probe here on roles, positive interdependence, promotive interaction, type of talk that CL encourages, rewards)

4.2 What other ways do you work in the classroom to help you learn?

4.3 Which of these ways helps you learn best?

4.4 Which of these ways do you like the best?

5. Can we talk now about what the teacher does when you are using cooperative learning?

5.1 What does the teacher do when you are working cooperatively?

5.2 What kinds of things does he/she do to make sure you are getting on OK?

Thank the pupils very much for talking to you and tell them how helpful their views have been.

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's Co-operative Learning Project

Parent Interview questions

Name of School:

Name of parent:

Date: Interviewer:

Introduction:

- Thank you for agreeing to speak to me by phone.
- My name is and I am a researcher at Strathclyde University. We have been asked to investigate the effectiveness of the cooperative learning in North Lanarkshire schools.
- This interview will last about 15 to 20 minutes.
- Everything you say is confidential – we may quote what you say when we are writing our report but no one will be named or able to be identified.
- We are interested in your views.
- Permission to record interview – if all agree – go ahead. If parent objects don't record.
- Any questions before we start?

1. First, can I just check which class your child/ren is/are in and if they are a boy or a girl?

Year group of children	Boy	Girl

2. What do you know about cooperative learning?

3. What information has the school given you about it?

4. Does your children talk about what they have been doing in classes when they work cooperatively with other pupils?

Yes: No:

If yes, what do they tell you?

Is there anything they specifically like?

Is there anything they don't like?

5. What impact do you think cooperative learning has had on your children? For example:
 - 5.1 interest in and enthusiasm for school work
 - 5.2 behaviour at home/school
 - 5.3 willingness to attend school
 - 5.4 willingness to do homework
 - 5.5 interest in and enthusiasm for school subjects

6. How important do you think it is for your child to learn to work cooperatively? Why?

Other issues?

Evaluation of North Lanarkshire's Co operative Learning Project

Lesson observation schedule

1. Information about class observed

Date		Time start		Time finish	
School					
Class	P? S?	No of boys		No of girls	Total
Teacher					
Subject/topic					
Summary of CL activities observed (including no of pupils in the groups)					

2. Pre-observation questions for teacher (*If possible*)

- 2.1 What is curriculum focus for lesson?
- 2.2 What are learning objectives?
- 2.3 What co-operative learning activities/methods are to be introduced?
- 2.4 When was this class first introduced to co-operative learning?
- 2.5 Is there a lesson plan available?
- 2.6 Copies of materials used during lesson?

3. Classroom environment

- 3.1 Layout of desks, chairs, other equipment.
- 3.2 Displays on walls (any reference to coop learning? Other approaches to T&L? Aspects of curriculum?)
- 3.3 What makes it particularly suitable as environment for doing CL?
- 3.4 Anything which makes it particularly unsuitable?

4. Resources used

- 4.1 What resources are used in the lesson?
- 4.2 Are there sets of materials for each group?
(e.g. envelope with task instructions - this may not be relevant if they are doing work based on material used previously)
- 4.3 Do the children have to access additional resources?
- 4.4 If yes, what resources?
- 4.5 If yes, are they easily accessibly?

5. Teacher role

- 5.1 Does Teacher explain learning goals and success criteria for lesson?
- 5.2 How does he/she do this?
(eg explain only, use whiteboard, OHT, handout, use of any particular techniques e.g. WALT and WILF - we are learning to ... what I'm looking for ..)
- 5.3 How is/are cooperative learning task/activities introduced?
- 5.4 How does teacher monitor pupils? This could be in relation to checking for understanding, how they are going about the task, or in relation to how they are working as a group.
(e.g. moving from group to group; identifying difficulties; encouraging pupils to help each other if difficulty identified, taking feedback in whole class; any particular techniques used, e.g. traffic lights,, thumb-up (Primary). How do they check their outcomes are 'correct'?).

6. Evidence of 5 CL principles

6.1 Positive interdependence; e.g.

<i>What evidence is there of shared goals: Does task make this clear?</i>	
<i>Do they have assigned roles?</i>	
<i>Do they share the tasks according to role?</i>	
<i>Does each child contribute according to their role?</i>	
<i>Are there any joint rewards for group achievement?</i>	

6.2 Individual and group accountability; e.g.

<i>Are individuals asked to report on behalf of group?</i>	
<i>Is there any assessment/ evaluation of outcomes or learning from the activity? Is this based in individual work or combined work? Verbal? Written?</i>	
<i>Any peer and or self-assessment? Any particular techniques or strategies used?</i>	

6.3 Promotive interaction; e.g.

<i>Do they sit physically in positions to do this and see each other? E.g. 'knee-to-knee'.</i>	
<i>Also about the way pupils talk to each other to help one another construct knowledge - Do they check that all have understood task, that they all see it the same way? Do they give explanations? Summarise? Paraphrase? Question each other with questions that need more than yes, no answers? Challenge each other's ideas if they think they are wrong? Give reasons for challenge? Correct each other either solicited or unsolicited? Ask for help? Recognise if they have a problem? Talk about ways of solving it? Check instructions? Think up alternatives? Give praise, acknowledge each other's contribution e.g. that's a good idea?</i>	

6.4 Interpersonal and small group skills (social skills); e.g.

<i>Taking turns, sharing materials, pacing group work, including everyone</i>	
<i>Using quiet voices, moving quietly to groups, ignoring distractions, staying with group; staying on task</i>	
<i>Saying please, thank-you; saying kind things; being gentle</i>	
<i>Encouraging; expressing support, no 'put downs', criticising ideas not people, disagreeing in 'non-hurtful' ways, resolving conflicts, reaching agreement/consensus, accepting differences</i>	
<i>Non-verbals – listening, looking at each other, body language; emotion – expressing feeling when appropriate, controlling anger</i>	

6.5 Group Processing; e.g.

<i>Is there an opportunity for them to check that all are happy with outcome?</i>	
<i>Do they review how they worked together – what went well, what could they do better? Could be in relation to how they did task, used materials, or the way they discussed it, the roles they took on etc</i>	

7. Post-observation questions for teacher

- 7.1 How typical would you say that this was of the way the class generally works? (e.g. how often use tasks like this? How does it compare with other lessons when CL is being used?)
- 7.2 What went well? Why?
- 7.3 Did anything not go as well as you would have liked? What? Why?

**(Note this questionnaire was completed both online and on paper.
The paper version is given here.)**

North Lanarkshire's Co-operative Learning Project

S1 Pupil Questionnaire 2006



This questionnaire is about two things. We are interested in knowing about your experience of moving from primary school to high school and also how Co-operative Learning has helped you.

By Co-operative Learning we mean times when you work as a small team on a task when everybody has a part to play and everybody is important. Everybody is responsible for what goes on in the team and helping everyone else to be successful. The teacher will have also asked you to use social skills when working on the task, for example using your quiet voices, taking turns to talk or listen or saying kind things. Anyone can be asked to give the answers for the group.

There are no right or wrong answers to any of the questions. We are interested in your views and it would help us if you would just say what you think.

The questionnaire is anonymous which means you do not have to give your name and that no-one from school will see what you write.

Thank you very much for your help!

4. Have you had classes in which you have done Co-operative Learning since coming to the high school? Please tick the box to show your answer.
- yes
- no
- don't know

If you answered 'yes' please tick the subjects in which you have used Co-operative Learning.

English	
Any Modern Foreign Language	
Mathematics	
Science	
Modern Studies	
History	
Geography	
Technological Studies	
Drama	
Art and Design	
Music	
Religious and Moral Education	
Home Economics	
Physical Education	
Personal and Social Education	
Other (please tell us which)	

Question 5 is about what you think about Co-operative Learning.

If you answered 'no' or 'don't know' to both questions 3 and 4 this means you have not done Co-operative Learning in either primary or secondary school and the questions are not relevant to you. Please now go to question 8.

If you have done Co-operative Learning in either primary or secondary school, please answer question 5.

5. We are interested in knowing how much you like Co-operative learning and how it has helped you learn. Please tick how much you agree with the following statements.

	I disagree strongly	I disagree	I agree	I agree strongly
a. I really look forward to Co-operative Learning				
b. I feel that I can do better at school when we do Co-operative Learning				
c. Co-operative Learning helps me to work with others				
d. In Co-operative Learning I learn problem solving because we all share our ideas				
e. Co-operative Learning encourages me to help others				
f. Co-operative Learning helps me work things out with the help of my friends without asking the teacher				
h. Co-operative Learning teaches me how to be nice to everyone				
i. I understand things better when we do Co-operative Learning				
j. I am better at planning answers when we do Co-operative Learning				
k. When we do Co-operative Learning I know when I have made a good contribution				
l. When we do Co-operative Learning I learn what I could do better next time				
m. Co-operative Learning helps with hard work				
n. I would like to do more Co-operative Learning				

6. What do you like most about Co-operative Learning?

7. Is there anything you don't like about Co-operative Learning?

- yes
- no
- don't know

If you answered 'yes' please tell us what you don't like:

Moving up!

Starting secondary school is a time of change. It is exciting and sometimes also a bit frightening to move to up to high school. In the following questions we will ask you about how you experienced the move to high school.

8. Please tick the words that best describe how you felt when you moved to high school.

Happy	<input type="checkbox"/>	Looking forward to it	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sad	<input type="checkbox"/>	Excited	<input type="checkbox"/>
Indifferent	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nervous	<input type="checkbox"/>
Grown up	<input type="checkbox"/>	Apprehensive	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please tell us more about how you felt:

9. There are different ways in which you can prepare for and settle in to high school. Please tell us which of the following things you took part in. If you tick 'yes' then tell us in the next columns how helpful you found it.

	Did you do this?		If yes, how helpful was it?		
	no	yes	Very helpful	a little helpful	not helpful
Visits to the high school before you started					
Meeting some of my new teachers					
Meeting with my new classmates from other primary schools					
Meeting with pupils who were already at high school					
Activities with the other primary schools (such as sports days or concerts)					
Starting to learn the subjects we would be getting in the high school while still in P7					
Opportunity to have a talk by myself with a teacher about the move					
Having an older pupil to talk to and ask for help during first year.					
Working on personal learning planning and setting targets					

10. Please tell us about anything else you did which made it easier for you to go to high school?

Please only answer question 11 to 13 if you have done Co-operative Learning.

11. Do you think Co-operative Learning has helped you during the time of changing school?

- | | |
|------------|--------------------------|
| yes | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| no | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| don't know | <input type="checkbox"/> |

12. If you answered 'yes', please tell us about one way in which you think it has helped?

13. Co-operative learning helps you to use social skills. Please tell us which of the following skills you have used during Co-operative Learning. Co-operative Learning. If you tick 'yes' then tell us in the next columns how helpful this skill was in helping you settle in to high school.

	Did you do this during cooperative learning?		If yes, how helpful was it?		
	no	yes	Very helpful	a little helpful	not helpful
Getting to know people					
Listening to everyone					
Asking for help					
Getting on with other pupils					
Saying kind things					
Resolving conflict					
Reaching agreement					

Thank you for completing this questionnaire.

North Lanarkshire's Cooperative Learning Project

Teacher questionnaire

(Note this questionnaire was completed entirely online. Information given here is for online version).

Thank you for agreeing to take part in our survey about the North Lanarkshire Cooperative Learning Project.

The purpose of the questionnaire is to gather teachers' views on the use of cooperative learning.

We realise that teachers are at different stages of being involved with cooperative learning and so all questions may not be relevant to everyone - please complete the questions you feel apply to your situation.

Each time we use the term 'Cooperative Learning' we mean:

using the principles and activities you learned about during the 3 day Academy provided by the local authority and exemplified in either of the two booklets you received.

'Cooperative Learning A Resource Booklet' was given out on the 3 day course, and 'Cooperative Learning, Strategies and Tactics' was given out on the Recall Day.

To proceed through the questionnaire, click on **Next Page >>** at the bottom of each page. You can go back through the questionnaire if you wish to amend any of your responses by following the **<< Back** link.

If you wish to leave the survey and come back later click on **Exit the survey** at the top right hand corner of the page. When you re-use the link to the questionnaire you should come back to the question at which you left off. Note: you need to use the same computer and allow cookies for this to work.

On the final page of the survey there is a **'Finish'** link. Once you click on this you can make no further changes. When this is pressed the survey window will close. The data is stored on a secure server from where we access the responses.

1. Name of School:

2. Sector:

3. Nursery/Primary teachers:

Please tick the class that you teach. If you have a composite class please tick the appropriate two boxes

Nursery	
P1	
P2	
P3	
P4	
P5	
P6	
P7	

4. Secondary teachers:

Please write in the year groups you teach and indicate which subjects you teach. Put a tick against a subject if you have used cooperative learning in that subject/class.

Year Group	Subject I teach	Subjects in which I use cooperative learning

5. Gender male female

6. How long have you been teaching? Please tick.

1-5 years 11-15 years
 6-10 years > 16 years

7. What is your main role in school?

class teacher principal teacher deputy head headteacher

Training and development for cooperative learning

8. When did you attend the 3 day academy training? Please tick.

- | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 2002 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2005 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 2003 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2006 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 2004 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2007 |

9. Please indicate which of the following you have attended. Select all that apply.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Recall Day 1
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recall Day 2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Cooperative Learning in every lesson
<input type="checkbox"/>	Social Skills Day
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other. Please specify:

10. Please indicate by ticking how helpful you have found the following activities. If you have not participated in some of them then please chose 'not relevant' as appropriate.

	Not at all Helpful	Adequate	Helpful	Very Helpful	Not Relevant
a. The 3 day Academy					
b. The Recall Day(s)					
c. In-house training provided by my school					
d. Networking with my school colleagues					
e. Support from the authority development officers					
f. Materials produced by other teachers					
g. School meeting run in a cooperative learning manner					
h. Local authority intranet and First Class					

11. What support would you like to take forward your use of cooperative learning?

Using Cooperative Learning

12. How often have you used cooperative learning since completing the Academy?

regularly occasionally I've not used it

If you have used it go to **question 15**.

Questions 13 and 14 are only for those who select 'I've not used it'.

13. If you have not yet started using cooperative learning, why have you not been able to do this?

14. What would help you to start using it?

15. Please indicate how you have found developing and using cooperative learning principles with your pupils.

	Very challenging	Challenging	Straight-forward	Very Straight-forward
a. Team and group building				
b. Sharing both academic and social goals for the lesson				
c. Encouraging positive interdependence (i.e. success in achieving the goal requires pupils to be dependent on each other. All are given specific roles to play).				
d. Requiring individual accountability (i.e. any individual can be asked to explain what the group is learning or individual is assessed on their contribution).				
e. Opportunities for face-to-face promotive interaction and discussion (e.g. think, pair, share; questioning each other; summarising; giving and receiving explanations).				

f. Opportunities for developing social skills (i.e. activities which allow for peer support, encouragement, recognition and praise).				
g. Group processing activities (i.e. reflection on what they did well and what they could improve).				

16. When you prepare a cooperative learning lesson or unit of learning, how often do you ensure that you embed all five cooperative learning elements?

- never
 sometimes
 most times
 always

Effects of Cooperative Learning

17. A Curriculum for Excellence emphasises the importance of developing 4 capacities in young people which will lead them to be successful learners, confident individuals, responsible citizens and effective contributors. In your experience of using cooperative learning so far, how much does it contribute to developing these capacities in your pupils?

Please rate the following aspects of pupils' development from 1 to 5:

1 = I am not aware of cooperative learning helping with this, to 5 = I have noticed high levels of progress in pupils in relation to this aspect through the use of cooperative learning.

1 = no contribution to 5 = high levels of progress

	1	2	3	4	5
17 Successful learners					
enthusiasm for learning					
aiming for high standards of achievement					
openness to new ideas					
thinking creatively					
thinking and learning independently					
learning as part of a group					
ability to apply learning in new situations					
18 Confident individuals					
self-respect					
a sense of well-being					
development of secure values and beliefs					
ability to relate to others					
ability to manage themselves					
self-awareness					
communicate their own beliefs					

19 Responsible citizens					
respect for others					
willingness to participate					
understanding of beliefs and values of others					
making informed choices					
20 Effective contributors					
developing enterprising attitudes					
resilience					
self-reliance					
ability to communicate in different ways and in different settings					
ability to work in partnership and teams					
ability to lead					
problem-solving abilities					

21. The following are some of the researched benefits of cooperative learning. To what extent have you observed these benefits in relation to your pupils?

1 = I have not observed this to 5 = this is very evident in my pupils.

	1	2	3	4	5
increased academic attainment					
improved inter-group relations					
improved integration of special needs students					
more on-task behaviour					
better attitudes to teachers					
better attitudes to schools					

22. We are interested in the extent to which you think cooperative learning has enhanced your teaching practice. This does not mean that these things were not true of you as a teacher before you took part in cooperative learning training, but that cooperative learning has allowed you to develop them further. Please indicate the extent to which you think CL has helped you develop in the following ways:

1 = not at all to 5 = very much.

Cooperative learning has	1	2	3	4	5
provided me with a wider range of teaching skills					
helped me get to know pupils better					
helped me develop better relations with pupils					
increased my motivation and enthusiasm for teaching					
assisted with classroom management					

23. Cooperative learning can have an impact on the whole school. Please indicate the extent to which you think the following is true of your school.

1 = this is not true of our school to 5 = this is very true of our school.

	1	2	3	4	5
Cooperative learning approaches are used within the school, for example, in staff meetings, planning meetings, CPD					
Cooperative learning approaches have enabled me to work more collaboratively with colleagues in my school or department					
<i>For secondary teachers only</i> Using cooperative learning has encouraged collaboration across departments and subjects					

24. Please add any other comments you would like to make about using cooperative learning.