In fiscal 2000, the Food Stamp Program provided benefits to 17.2 million low-income Americans, a level lower than any year since 1979. Just 6 years earlier, in fiscal 1994, program participation peaked at over 27 million Americans. According to recent studies, the decline in participation was due in part to a strong economy and in part to 1996 welfare reform legislation. This new law, the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA), made some food stamp participants ineligible and redesigned the cash welfare system in ways that may have further reduced food stamp participation.

The rapid decline in program participation reflects major life changes for millions of low-income individuals and families. Each family or individual that has left the program, or that has not needed to apply in the first place, has its own story. Many of these stories center on good news—a new job or a raise—brought by the unusually strong economic expansion in the second half of the 1990’s. Increased earnings lifted incomes for many families and reduced their need for food stamp benefits.

Other stories reflect changes in the Nation’s social safety net, especially following major welfare reform legislation enacted in 1996. PRWORA imposed a 3-month time limit on able-bodied adults without dependents to receive food stamps, unless they worked or participated in an approved work-related program at least 20 hours per week, or lived in areas granted waivers because of high unemployment rates or insufficient number of jobs. A limited number of cases were exempted at the State’s discretion. According to the General Accounting Office, the number of able-bodied adults without dependents participating in the Food Stamp Program dropped from about 1.1

The strong economy of the mid to late 1990’s, which featured a soaring stock market and low unemployment rates, helped lift incomes of many families and reduce food stamp rolls.

Credit: Chicago Board of Trade.
Food Stamp Participation Fluctuated during the 1980's and 1990's

The Food Stamp Program is the largest Federal food assistance program and a mainstay of the Federal safety net. The program paid out almost $15 billion in food stamp benefits in fiscal 2000, an average monthly benefit of $73 per participant. The maximum benefit is the amount of money needed to purchase a nutritionally adequate diet as defined by the Federal Government's Thrifty Food Plan. The benefits, in the form of either coupons or electronic benefits transfer (EBT) payments, may be used to purchase food and nonalcoholic beverages in authorized stores. The Federal Government then reimburses stores for the value of the food.

To qualify for the program, a household without an aged or disabled member must have gross income less than 130 percent of the Federal poverty level. Effective through September 2001, a family of four must have gross monthly income less than $1,848 to qualify. All households must have net incomes (gross income minus certain deductions) less than the poverty level. Finally, with some exceptions, the household must meet asset limits of $2,000 for most households or $3,000 for households with a member over age 60.

Most people who receive cash assistance through Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) also receive food stamps, but it cannot be said that most food stamp participants receive TANF. Seventy-three percent of food stamp households received no assistance from TANF in 1999. TANF serves primarily single-parent families with children (and a smaller number of two-parent families with children), while the Food Stamp Program serves many elderly and disabled people living alone, single adults, and two-parent working families who are not eligible for TANF (see box). Individuals who apply for TANF or Supplemental Security Income (SSI), the major cash assistance programs for people with disabilities, are permitted to simultaneously apply for food stamps, so participation patterns for these assistance programs are somewhat related.

Food stamp participation rose during the recession of the early 1980’s and then declined during the middle and late 1980’s. Participation rose again to new heights during the recession of the early 1990’s, before declining again after 1994. Food stamp participation and the national unemployment rate have followed a roughly parallel track during much of the last 20 years (fig. 1), which suggests that economic conditions have a plausible role in fluctuating food stamp participation.

Food stamp participation and the unemployment rate diverge during some periods, which suggests that factors other than the economy may also affect food stamp participation. In the early 1980’s, for example, program participation had already declined by the time unemployment peaked. In the early 1990’s, program participation continued to rise for 2 years after the recession ended while unemployment began to fall.

Several major policy changes during the last two decades may have affected the number of people receiving food stamps (fig. 2). The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 applied new eligibility requirements and lowered some deductions, perhaps reducing program participation in the years that followed. The Food Security Act of 1985 expanded eligibility by increasing the resource limits and designating categorical eligibility to households in which all members participate in either Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) or SSI. The Hunger Prevention Act of 1988 and the Mickey Leland
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fewer food stamp participants receive AFDC/TANF, while more are elderly or disabled

### Characteristics of Food Stamp Households in 1994 and 1999

From 1994 to 1999, while the number of Food Stamp Program participants declined, the characteristics of food stamp households also changed. Well over half of all food stamp households contain children, although this proportion declined slightly from 1994 to 1999 (see table). About 20 percent of all food stamp households contained an elderly person in 1999, up 4 percentage points from 1994. The raw number of households containing a disabled person actually grew from 1994 to 1999, even as the total number of food stamp households declined, so the proportion of food stamp households containing a disabled person has grown rapidly (although, as the notes to the table observe, part of the apparent increase is due to a change in the definition of “disabled”).

The main sources of cash income for food stamp households have also changed. The proportion of food stamp households that received Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) or Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) declined sharply from 38 percent in 1994 to 27 percent in 1999. Meanwhile, this period saw growth in the proportion of food stamp households that received earned income, Supplemental Security Income (SSI), and Social Security. While the Food Stamp Program still supplements the resources available to low-income single-parent households, a larger share of its benefits help low-income two-parent working families, the elderly, and the disabled.

#### Fewer Food Stamp Participants Receive AFDC/TANF, While More Are Elderly or Disabled

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Containing children</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>55.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Containing elderly person(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Containing disabled person(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving earned income</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving AFDC/TANF</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving SSI</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving Social Security</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AFDC = Aid to Families with Dependent Children; TANF = Temporary Assistance for Needy Families; SSI = Supplemental Security Income.

Notes: The definition of “disabled” was modified in 1995, which caused the proportion of households defined as disabled in that year to increase by 5.6 percentage points, from 13.3 percent under the old definition to 18.9 percent under the new definition. Thus, this definition change caused some, but not most, of the increase in disabled households from 1994 to 1999 reported here. Percentages do not sum to 100 percent because households may belong to more than one type.

Figure 1
Food Stamp Participation and the Unemployment Rate Follow Similar Trends Over Time


Figure 2
Food Stamp Participation May Have Been Influenced by Policy Changes

Source: USDA's Food and Nutrition Service.
that were made in the 1996 law, such as those that affect immigrants and able-bodied adults without dependents.) The full effect of the 1996 reforms could be somewhat higher due to changes in Food Stamp Program administration and practices that were not picked up by the policy measures used in this study.

Other recent studies found similar results. Researchers at Mathematica Policy Research, Inc., under contract to ERS, used a more detailed classification of welfare policy changes (such as time limits and work requirements) to look at how these changes and economic factors influenced Food Stamp Program participation. Mathematica found that economic growth caused about 40 percent of the caseload decline. Detailed policy changes appeared to have little effect—from 0 to 2 percent of the caseload decline—but the timing of another 23 percent of the decline coincided with the implementation of the 1996 welfare reform, without being linked to a specific policy change that the researchers were able to measure.

**What Happened to People Who Left the Food Stamp Program?**

The experiences of people who left the program also help explain how economic conditions and program changes affected food stamp participation. Studying these food stamp “leavers” is not the same as studying caseloads in general. Case-load changes depend not only on how many people leave the program, but also on how many people enter the program. Nevertheless, two recent studies in Illinois and Arizona sponsored by ERS shed light on what happens to people when they leave the Food Stamp Program. (Two other reports, in Iowa and South Carolina, were not completed at the time of this writing.)

Researchers for the Illinois and Arizona studies used two methods to track the experiences of one-time food stamp participants who left the program in 1997, just as the welfare reform law was being implemented. First, the researchers used information from program administrative records and from Federal Government records on unemployment insurance. These unemployment insurance records report earnings from work, but with some gaps. For example, earnings that are paid in cash may not be reported to the Government. These records, however, still provide useful information about earnings of people who left the Food Stamp Program. Second, in 1999, the researchers surveyed a sample of people who left the Food Stamp Program about their employment situation and their general economic well-being.

The unemployment insurance data showed that household earnings increased fairly rapidly after households left the Food Stamp Program. On average, earnings increased 21 percent in Illinois and 17 percent in Arizona in the first 2 years after leaving the program. These results offer encouraging evidence that many leavers improve their economic situation by working. The proportion of households that are working did not increase rapidly in either State, however, indicating that most of the earnings growth occurred with households that had already been working in some capacity. Moreover, even households with earnings typically did not earn more than the poverty level. As noted in previous Food Stamp Program studies, many leavers return to the program within a year. In Illinois, 40 percent of households that left the Food Stamp Program returned within 12 months (which may be compared with 42 percent who returned within a year in a study using national data from the early 1990’s). Some of these program returns are short-lived—the fraction of leavers in 1997 who participated in the program 1 year after leaving was only 25 percent in Illinois and 13 percent in Arizona.

In a followup survey interview in Illinois, 55 percent of people who left the program cited employment or increased income as their reason for leaving. Another 13 percent were sanctioned, meaning that their benefits had been cut or eliminated because of failure to follow program requirements. Twelve percent cited administrative-related reasons such as the difficulty of reapplying for program benefits. (The Arizona study also conducted a followup interview but did not ask specifically why the respondent had left the Food Stamp Program.) Like the findings from unemployment insurance data, the survey results suggest that favorable employment conditions are a primary factor in an individual’s decision to leave the Food Stamp Program, but they are not the only factor.

**Are Growing Numbers of Eligible People Going Unserved?**

The decline in Food Stamp Program caseloads raises concerns about those who are eligible for the program but who do not participate. Do people who leave cash assistance programs incorrectly assume they are no longer eligible for food stamps? Or do people simply choose not to participate in the Food Stamp Program because their economic outlook is favorable and they know they would not be eligible for a long period?

The July 2000 ERS report investigated how many people with annual incomes below 130 percent of the poverty line received food stamps in 1998 versus 1994. Having income below 130 percent of the poverty line is one of several
requirements for Food Stamp Program eligibility. ERS found that 55 percent of the decline in participation from 1994 to 1998 was associated with decreased use of food stamps by individuals in households with incomes less than or equal to 130 percent of the poverty line. Twenty-six percent of the decline was associated with people leaving the Food Stamp Program as their annual incomes rose above 130 percent of the poverty line. (The remaining 19 percent of the decline was associated with decreased use of food stamps by people with annual incomes above 130 percent of poverty—most of whom presumably had incomes below this level for some fraction of the year, making them eligible in some months but not others.)

These participation patterns are corroborated by a recent report from FNS, which used a more elaborate method for measuring the number of people eligible for the Food Stamp Program. The FNS report found that 70.8 percent of people eligible for the Food Stamp Program participated in 1994, but only 59.4 percent of eligible people participated in 1998. The number of eligible people fell from 37.0 million in 1994 to 30.6 million in 1998, but the number of participants fell even faster, so the evidence shows a growing number of eligible people do not participate in the Food Stamp Program.

In July 1999, USDA announced several actions to reduce barriers to participation and make all eligible Americans aware of their eligibility, including a public education campaign, an information hotline, a new toolkit for State and local outreach efforts, and new rules that allow States to simplify income-reporting requirements for program participants.

ERS is involved in several studies using national and local surveys to investigate the causes of food stamp caseload declines. One study is examining how decisions to participate in the Food Stamp Program are influenced by such factors as customer service at local welfare offices or, perhaps, heightened stigma associated with welfare reform. Understanding the decisions households make about participating in the Food Stamp Program helps predict how caseloads will fluctuate in the future and also helps ensure that the program serves all eligible people who want to participate.
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